1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, • Docket No. 8:23CR215
4	Plaintiff,
5	vs. • Omaha, Nebraska
6	 January 14, 2025 JADEN D. REIMAN, 9:34 a.m.
7	Defendant. •
8	
9	
10	TRANSCRIPT OF MOTION TO SUPPRESS PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHAEL D. NELSON UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	APPEARANCES:
17	For the Plaintiff: MR. THOMAS J. KANGIOR, ESQ. Assist. United States Attorney
18	1620 Dodge Street, Suite 1400 Omaha, NE 68102-1506
19	Smara, NE 00102 1300
20	For the Defendant: MR. MICHAEL J. WILSON, ESQ. Berry Law Firm
21	1414 Harney Street, Suite 400 Omaha, NE 68102
22	OHIGHA, IVE OUTUZ
23	Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; transcript
24	produced by transcription via mechanical stenography.
25	

1	INDEX
2	<u>Direct Cross Redirect Recross</u>
3	WITNESSES FOR THE GOVERNMENT:
4	1. Inv. Daniel Eads 14 36 55
5	2. Sgt. Jarrod Wineinger 58 82 99 105
6	3. Off. Brian Gerrity108 116 123
7	By the Court123
8	WITNESSES FOR THE DEFENSE:
9	None
10	EXHIBITS: Offered Received
11	1. Body-worn camera footage 8 8
12	2. Body-worn camera footage 8 8
13	3. Body-worn camera footage 8 8
14	4. Body-worn camera footage 8 8
15	5. Signed Miranda form 8 8
16	101. Screenshot photograph
17	102. Screenshot photograph
18	103. Screenshot photograph
19	104. Screenshot photograph
20	105. Screenshot photograph
21	MOTIONS AND STIPULATIONS: Made Ruled On
22	Motion to Sequester
23	CLOSING ARGUMENT: Mr. Wilson
24	RESPONSE: Mr. Kangior
25	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

The

```
Motion to Suppress
 1
           (At 9:34 a.m. on January 14, 2025, with counsel for the
 2
      plaintiff present, counsel for the defendant present, and the
 3
      defendant present, the following proceedings were had:)
                THE COURT: ... United States of America vs. Jaden
 4
 5
      Reiman.
               The case number is 8:23CR215.
 6
                Counsel for the government?
 7
                MR. KANGIOR: Good morning, Your Honor.
      Thomas J. Kangior appearing on behalf of the United States.
 8
 9
      Also seated at counsel table is the case representative,
     Daniel Eads.
10
11
                THE COURT: Okay. Good morning to each of you.
12
                On behalf of the defendant?
13
                MR. WILSON: Good morning, Your Honor.
     Michael J. Wilson on behalf of Jaden Reiman. He's present
14
15
      here with me.
16
                THE COURT: Okay. Good morning.
17
                Good morning, Mr. Reiman.
18
                THE DEFENDANT: Good morning.
19
                THE COURT: How are you feeling today, sir?
20
                THE DEFENDANT: Nervous, but okay.
21
                THE COURT: Okay. Well, nervous is usual.
22
                We are scheduled for an evidentiary hearing on the
23
     motion to suppress that was filed in this case on your
```

behalf. Your attorney did file that motion. It's at Filing

Number 231, supported by a brief at Filing Number 232.

24

government filed its response at Filing Number 261.

Essentially, the government -- or, essentially, the defendant argues that the stop on this case was unlawful; the detention of the defendant was without reasonable suspicion, that there wasn't probable cause for a search of the vehicle.

Defendant also contests the dog's crossing the plane of the vehicle, and the defendant alleges that that constitutes unlawful search. Defendant also alleges that there was coercion with regard to certain statements that were made to law enforcement in this case.

The government contests all those matters and also raises a good-faith exclusion in the -- in the event that there was any unlawful activity on behalf of the officers.

Mr. Wilson, with regard to the statements, you are contesting the statements made by your client on coercion grounds but not on the grounds that he wasn't properly advised of his Miranda rights?

MR. WILSON: I did not raise the Miranda advisement in the brief, Your Honor, but I believe that...

THE COURT: Well, there is going to be an exhibit --

MR. WILSON: Right. I did argue...

THE COURT: -- for a Miranda waiver...

MR. WILSON: Right. And I -- but I did arg- -- and

I -- and I see why the government included it because in my

```
Motion to Suppress
```

he was given Miranda warnings.

1 motion to suppress I did write, "none of which was cured by 2 Miranda advisements." 3 So I think that encompasses an improper or ineffective Miranda advisement. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. So from the court's perspective 6 you are not contesting whether Miranda was given or properly 7 What you are contesting is is that any statements were coerced or unlawfully given notwithstanding the fact 8 9 that Miranda warnings were properly given? 10 I arg- -- yeah. I don't believe MR. WILSON: Yes. 11 we have an argument based on the evidence that I think we'll 12 hear here today that the Miranda warnings weren't given at 13 one point during this -- during the interrogation. 14 THE COURT: They were not given? 15 MR. WILSON: That they -- we don't have good 16 evidence that they were not given. 17 THE COURT: Okay. 18 MR. WILSON: That's a double negative. I am sorry. 19 THE COURT: Sure. I understand. I just want to 20 make sure. The grounds are that they were coerced or there 21 was --22 MR. WILSON: Uh-huh. 23 THE COURT: -- undue pressure given on the 24 defendant so that his will was overborn despite the fact that

Motion to Suppress 1 MR. WILSON: That's... 2 THE COURT: Do I have that correct? 3 MR. WILSON: That's correct, Your Honor. Okay. And then, in addition, your 4 THE COURT: 5 client takes issues with statements that were made by someone 6 else, namely his wife? 7 MR. WILSON: Right. I would suggest that all statements that were made were the -- essentially fruit of 8 9 the poisonous tree given the coercive nature of the 10 interrogations.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Right. But your client doesn't have standing to contest any statements that were made by someone That may be an issue that could be raised in advance else. of trial in a motion in limine, but you don't claim standing with regard to statements made by another person, do you? MR. WILSON: I did not claim standing in my motion

or my brief, no.

THE COURT: Okay. So, Mr. Kangior, did I properly set forth the government's position, namely that the stop was permitted because there was a following too closely traffic infraction, that the detention -- that the prolonged detention from the traffic stop was lawful because there was reasonable suspicion to believe that the occupants of the vehicle may have been engaged in criminal behavior, that the dog -- taking the dog around for a sniff of the vehicle was

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

20

21

22

23

24

25

exhibits and at Filing Number 268 your witness list.

MR. WILSON: Yes, Your Honor.

objection to the court's receipt of those exhibits for

exhibits that were listed?

Mr. Wilson, have you had a chance to review five

THE COURT: Will the defendant be having any

```
Motion to Suppress
```

```
1
     purposes of this motion and today's hearing?
 2
                MR. WILSON: Not for purposes of this motion and
 3
      hearing.
                THE COURT: Okay. And, Mr. Kangior, are you then
 4
 5
      offering them on behalf of the government?
                MR. KANGIOR: I will offer them at this time.
 6
 7
      Government offers Exhibits 1 through 5.
                THE COURT: Okay. So Exhibit -- the first four
 8
 9
      exhibits are body-worn camera footage of the traffic stop
10
      from various cameras or operators and then Exhibit Number 5
11
      is the signed Miranda form by Defendant in this case. So the
12
      court will receive Exhibits 1 through 5 without objection.
13
                Will the government be offering any additional
      exhibits?
14
15
                MR. KANGIOR: Not at this time.
16
                THE COURT: Will the defendant be offering any
17
      exhibits today?
18
                MR. WILSON: Yes, Your Honor.
19
                THE COURT: And are you -- what exhibits are you
     planning on offering?
20
21
                MR. WILSON: Your Honor, we have -- we have -- I
22
      have a thumb drive here that has five, what we would call,
23
      screen grabs. And these come from Government's Exhibit
     Number 4 --
24
25
                THE COURT:
                            Okay.
```

```
1
               MR. WILSON: -- which is the body-worn camera
 2
      footage of the traffic stop and the drug dog sniff, if the
 3
      government -- I believe that that's correct.
               MR. KANGIOR: That should be identical to
 4
 5
      Government's Exhibit 2. That would be the...
 6
               MR. WILSON: Okay.
 7
               MR. KANGIOR: No. I am sorry. That would be...
                THE COURT: Well, he says that he's got five still
 8
 9
     photos taking from -- taken from...
10
               MR. KANGIOR: That should be taken from
11
      Government's Exhibit 4, which is the body camera worn by the
12
      K-9 handler, Jarrod Wineinger.
13
                THE COURT: Okay. So there is five of those
14
     photos?
15
               MR. WILSON: There are five in total, Your Honor.
16
      I guess I am not sure what naming convention the court would
17
     be using, but these would be Defense Exhibits 1 through 5.
18
                THE COURT: Right. So you -- have you printed
19
      those, or are those the same ones that you provided to the
20
      court earlier?
21
                            These are the same ones that have
               MR. WILSON:
22
      already been provided to the court. I do have those on a
23
      thumb drive, Your Honor, for the -- for purposes...
24
                THE COURT: But you don't have them printed out?
```

MR. WILSON: I didn't print them out, Your Honor.

I put them on a thumb drive for the court. I thought that 1 2 would be easier for the court. 3 THE COURT: Well, I think -- I wonder if -- so we properly have the record made for the court, I think it might 4 5 be best to, first of all, mark these individually as 6 exhibits. 7 MR. WILSON: Uh-huh. THE COURT: And if we are going to do so to 8 9 properly mark them as Exhibits 1 -- 101 through 105 --10 MR. WILSON: All right. 11 THE COURT: -- pursuant to our local court rules 12 and to mark them as exhibits. 13 MR. WILSON: Uh-huh. 14 THE COURT: I have the five printouts that you 15 provided to the court. Is that how you want to proceed? 16 MR. WILSON: That would be great, Your Honor. And 17 I apologize. I should have printed them. Thank you for 18 providing those. 19 THE COURT: That's fine. I am going to give them 20 to the courtroom deputy. If you could retrieve them, make 21 sure you put them in order the way you want them to be 22 received, and then we'll put exhibit stamps on them. 23 MR. WILSON: Thank you, Your Honor. 24 Yes, Your Honor. The way these are ordered right 25 now from top to bottom, the exhibits -- Defense Exhibits 1

```
1
      through 5.
 2
                THE COURT: Okay. So it would be Defense
 3
      Exhibits 101 through 105.
                MR. WILSON: Okay.
 4
 5
                           Is the government going to object to
                THE COURT:
 6
      any of those exhibits being received by the court for
 7
     purposes of the motion, the pending motion and today's
     hearing?
 8
 9
                MR. KANGIOR: No, Your Honor.
10
                THE COURT: Okay. Defendant's Exhibits 101 through
11
      105 will be received by the court.
12
                And then, Mr. Wilson, will you be having any
13
      additional exhibits?
                MR. WILSON: No, Your Honor.
14
15
                THE COURT: Are you planning on calling any
16
      witnesses today?
17
                MR. WILSON: No, Your Honor.
18
                THE COURT: And the government is going to have
19
      four -- or three witnesses?
20
                MR. KANGIOR: Correct.
21
                THE COURT: And are you -- and are you confident
22
      that you are going to be calling all three witnesses?
23
                MR. KANGIOR: Two for sure. Possibly the third.
24
                THE COURT: Okay. And how much time do you
25
      anticipate -- and I know you can't necessarily predict
```

1 cross-examination, but how long do you think the first two 2 witnesses will take? 3 MR. KANGIOR: Less than an hour. THE COURT: Okav. So I would think then that 4 5 probably after the first two witnesses, depending on how long 6 cross-examination is, we'll take a break to make sure 7 everyone has a moment to be prepared to move forward, and then we can decide on how we are going to go from there. 8 9 So with regard to witnesses then, is the defendant 10 wishing to make a motion to sequester witnesses? 11 MR. WILSON: Your Honor, I would request that. 12 THE COURT: Okav. Thank you. 13 MR. WILSON: 14 THE COURT: That will be granted. 15 Who are you planning on calling first, Mr. Kangior? 16 MR. KANGIOR: Daniel Eads, the case representative, 17 who I would request be present throughout the proceedings 18 this morning. 19 THE COURT: Okay. And the defendant is -- doesn't 20 object to that, I take it? 21 MR. WILSON: No, Your Honor. 22 Okay. So any other witnesses, so that THE COURT: 23 would be Officer and Deputy, Gerrity and Wineinger, I will 24 have you step out. You are sequestered. Don't discuss the 25 case or your testimony with anyone else, and we'll retrieve

1 you when it's time for your testimony. Thank you. 2 Again, the motion for sequestration is granted. 3 I don't think that we need to have opening I have already, I think, explained to counsel 4 statements. 5 that I have reviewed all the briefs. I think I have an idea 6 of what the issues are. I will allow closing arguments at 7 the conclusion of today's testimony. Is that acceptable to the government? 8 9 MR. KANGIOR: It is, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: To the defendant? 11 MR. WILSON: Yes, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Well, with that said, then, the 13 government may call its first witness. 14 MR. KANGIOR: Thank you, Your Honor. The 15 government calls Daniel Eads. 16 THE COURT: Sir, will you please approach the 17 witness stand, remain standing, and raise your right hand? 18 THE COURTROOM CLERK: Please state your full name 19 for the record and spell your last name. 20 THE WITNESS: Daniel Eads, E-a-d-s. 21 INVESTIGATOR DANIEL EADS, GOVERNMENT WITNESS, SWORN 22 THE WITNESS: Yes. 23 THE COURTROOM CLERK: You can be seated. 24 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Kangior, you may proceed. 25 MR. KANGIOR: Thank you, Your Honor.

EADS - Direct

DIRECT EXAMINATION

2 BY MR. KANGIOR:

- 3 Q. Sir, how are you employed?
- 4 A. With the Douglas County Sheriff's Office.
- 5 Q. And how long have you been so employed?
- 6 A. Almost eight years.
- 7 Q. And in what capacity are you employed with Douglas
- 8 County Sheriff's Office?
- 9 A. I am an investigator with the special operations group
- 10 and a TFO with the FBI TOC West Task Force.
- 11 Q. And give the judge an overview of some of the
- 12 investigations that you become involved with.
- 13 A. I primarily investigate narcotics crimes, long-term
- 14 narcotics crimes.
- 15 Q. That occur here in Douglas County?
- 16 A. In Douglas County and all over Nebraska.
- 17 Q. Were you employed in your current capacity on
- 18 August 23rd, 2023?
- 19 **A.** Yes.
- 20 Q. August 23rd, 2023?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Were you working that day?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. What -- were you involved in an investigation?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. Describe the investigation to the court.
- 2 A. It's a OCDETF investigation.
- Q. Let me stop you there. What's OCDETF?
- 4 A. Organized crime drug-trafficking investigation.
- 5 Q. And does that involve federal agencies?
- 6 A. Yes.

- 7 Q. Such as?
- 8 A. The FBI and DEA.
- 9 Q. And do you also -- in that OCDETF investigation do you 10 work with other agencies in Nebraska?
- 11 A. Yes. Other task force officers.
- 12 Q. And then describe how this OCDETF investigation began.
- 13 A. It began with an investigation into a Mexican
- drug-trafficking organization operating in the Omaha metro area.
- 16 Q. Give an overview of how this organization was operating.
- 17 A. It ships large quantities of methamphetamine to the
- 18 Omaha area and has couriers distribute the methamphetamine.
- Q. And then specifically who or what were you investigating on August 23rd, 2023?
- 21 A. We were conducting surveillance on Jonathan Solis 22 Ovalle, who is a Mexican drug courier.
- Q. Why was it that you were investigating him?
- A. We have two previous undercover buys buying one pound of meth each time from him.

- 1 Q. From him? From him. 2 A. 3 0. And then did those transactions occur here in Douglas County? 4 5 A. Yes. And then as a result of those two... 6 Ο. 7 THE COURT: Mr. Kangior, if I could interrupt. 8 Sir, could you spell this individual's name that you were 9 surveilling? 10 THE WITNESS: Uh... 11 THE COURT: To the best of your ability. 12 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Jonathan, J-o-n-a-t-h-a-n, 13 middle name, Ovalle, O-v-a-1-1-e, last name, Solis, S-o-l-i-s. 14 15 THE COURT: Thank you. 16 BY MR. KANGIOR: 17 Was he eventually arrested as part of this Ο. 18 investigation? Yes. And indicted. 19 Α. 20 And is a co-defendant of Mr. Reiman's? Q. 21 Α. Yes. Named in the same indictment? 22 Q.
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 So you had two previous buys from this Mr. Ovalle? Q.
- 25 A. Yes.

- EADS Direct
- 1 Q. Of methamphetamine?
- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. As a result of those buys, what investigative techniques
- 4 did you utilize?
- 5 A. We obtained a tracker warrant and put a tracker on the
- 6 vehicle that he was using.
- 7 Q. What type of vehicle was it?
- 8 A. A Malibu, Chevy Malibu.
- 9 Q. And on August 23rd, 2023, was the tracker on the Malibu
- 10 at that time?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Is that the reason that you were following the Malibu
- 13 | that day?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 0. And what were you trying to discover?
- 16 A. We were discovering if he was distributing
- 17 methamphetamine.
- 18 Q. So did you see Mr. Ovalle driving this Malibu on
- 19 August 23rd, 2023?
- 20 A. Yes. Surveillance officers observed him.
- 21 0. Where was this?
- 22 A. At 24th and L at the Walgreens parking lot.
- 23 Q. And what was he doing there?
- 24 A. He was meeting with a white Buick Enclave.
- 25 Q. Did he arrive separately from the Conclave [sic]?

EADS - Direct

Yes.

- 2 0. And then did he park in the parking lot?
- 3 A. Yes.

A.

- 4 Q. Describe what happens after he parks.
- 5 A. A white Buick Enclave arrives, and a white male gets out
- 6 of the vehicle and meets with him.
- 7 Q. And how was it they met?
- 8 A. They met in the parking lot, and we recorded the entire
- 9 thing.
- 10 Q. The white male, was that Mr. Reiman?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Who is sitting in here next to defense counsel?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. When they -- when they met, did Mr. Reiman get into
- 15 | Ovalle's vehicle?
- 16 A. I don't recall if he got in or met at the window.
- 17 Q. Okay. And then what happens after they meet?
- 18 A. He gets back in the -- his vehicle.
- 19 | 0. Who's "he"? Who's "he"?
- 20 A. Reiman gets back into his vehicle, and then they leave
- 21 the area.
- 22 | Q. And Reiman's vehicle was the Enclave?
- 23 A. I believe it was a white Buick Enclave.
- 24 | Q. Was he driving?
- 25 A. He was a passenger.

- EADS Direct
- 1 Q. Did you -- at the time that you observed Reiman meet
- 2 with Ovalle, did you see who was driving --
- 3 A. I did not.
- 4 0. -- Reiman's vehicle?
- 5 A. I did not observe that.
- 6 Q. This -- when Mr. Reiman approached the Malibu, did he
- 7 have anything in his hands?
- 8 A. Yes. He had a black drawstring bag.
- 9 Q. When he approached the Malibu?
- 10 A. When he approached the Malibu.
- 11 | Q. And then when he left the Mali- -- well, how long was he
- 12 at the Malibu?
- 13 A. Less than a minute.
- 14 Q. Okay. And then he returned to his Enclave to the
- 15 passenger's side door?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. And did he have the black bag with him at that time?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. How long did this transaction take, about?
- 20 A. Less than a minute or two.
- 21 Q. And did you see the Malibu meet with any other parties
- 22 in the Walgreens parking lot?
- 23 A. No. They both left the parking lot at approximately the
- 24 same time.
- 25 Q. And have you observed similar type of transactions

- 1 before?
- 2 A. I have.
- 3 | Q. And what did you believe occurred?
- 4 A. A narcotics transaction.
- 5 Q. And based on your belief, what did you do?
- 6 A. We started beginning surveillance on the white Buick
- 7 | Enclave.
- 8 Q. Why?
- 9 A. Because we believed he just purchased a large quantity
- of methamphetamine.
- 11 Q. And did you know who Reiman was at the time?
- 12 A. I did not, no.
- 13 Q. Did you run the plates?
- 14 A. We ran the plates.
- Q. And what information did you receive after running the
- 16 plates?
- 17 A. The registered owner. And I don't recall who the
- 18 registered owner was on that.
- 19 Q. Did anybody follow -- well, how many officers or agents
- 20 were involved in this surveillance at the Walgreens parking
- 21 | lot?
- 22 A. Approximately 20.
- 23 Q. Several undercover vehicles involved?
- 24 A. Yes. Many.
- 25 Q. And what were you driving that day?

- LADS DIICCL
- 1 A. I was driving a undercover Toyota Tundra.
- 2 Q. And when you say undercover, it doesn't have any
- 3 | identifying law enforcement decals on it?
- 4 A. No. It just has emergency lights.
- 5 Q. Were you in communication with the other agents and
- 6 officers involved in this surveillance?
- 7 A. Yes. We were on the radio with each other during the
- 8 entire thing.
- 9 Q. And everybody is communicating with one another about
- 10 | their observations?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Do you -- does anybody follow the Malibu after it left
- 13 the Walgreens parking lot?
- 14 A. I don't believe so.
- 15 Q. Because you had...
- 16 A. We had it on tracker.
- 17 Q. No need to follow it?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. So describe what you observed when you follow the Buick
- 20 Concave [sic] with Mr. Reiman riding as a passenger?
- 21 A. We observed them go westbound on I-80 and then
- 22 approximately at 108th and I-80 observed the vehicle
- 23 | following too close to a semi.
- 24 Q. What's your description of following too close?
- 25 A. Following too close was when the semi was braking, they

- П
- 1 had to brake so that they could avoid a collision. And then
- 2 | rule of thumb is approximately two to three seconds so that
- you are far enough back that the semi driver can see you in
- 4 the mirrors.
- 5 Q. And was this Concave [sic] following this semi within
- 6 two sec- -- two to three seconds?
- 7 A. It was following too close.
- 8 Q. Well within two seconds?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. As a result of your observations, what do you do?
- 11 A. I initiate a traffic stop, attempt to initiate a traffic
- 12 stop at I-80 westbound and Q Street.
- 13 Q. How do you go about initiating your traffic stop?
- 14 A. I call out on the radio advising dispatch I am
- attempting a traffic stop and activate my emergency lights.
- 16 Q. Did the Buick Concave [sic] pull over immediately?
- 17 A. No. And I began honking my horn attempting to get their
- 18 attention to pull over.
- 19 Q. You say her attention. At that point when you activated
- 20 your lights could you see who was driving?
- 21 A. I could not see who was driving.
- 22 Q. Okay. Eventually you found out it was a female that was
- 23 the driver?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 Q. Does the Concave pull over?

- 1 A. No. The Enclave --
- 2 0. Enclave.
- 3 A. -- continues to drive. And then Sergeant Wineinger
- 4 pulls next to me and activates his lights and sirens. And
- 5 then they eventually pull over approximately a mile and a
- 6 | half, two miles later.
- 7 Q. Did you honk your horn numerous times prior to -- or
- 8 after activating your lights and prior to the Enclave pulling
- 9 over?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. How long do you think the Enclave traveled after you
- 12 activated your lights prior to pulling over?
- 13 A. Approximately a mile and a half, two miles.
- 14 Q. And how fast were you going at the time?
- 15 A. Fifty-five, sixty. There was -- there was traffic. It
- 16 was rush hour.
- 17 Q. So a minute or two minutes? Minute and a half, two
- 18 | minutes?
- 19 A. Approximately that. Maybe a little longer.
- 20 Q. Have you pulled over vehicles before?
- 21 A. Many times.
- 22 | Q. Do parties that you pull over typically travel a mile
- 23 | and a half to two miles prior to pulling over?
- 24 MR. WILSON: Objection. Form and foundation.
- 25 THE COURT: Object -- objection is overruled. You

- 2 A. Could you repeat that?
- 3 BY MR. KANGIOR:
- 4 Q. Do parties that you pull over typically travel a mile
- 5 and a half to two miles prior to pulling over?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. Did that cause you concerns?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. What concerns did it cause you?
- 10 A. Obviously raised our suspicion that they could be
- 11 obtaining a weapon. They could be hiding evidence,
- destroying evidence. I mean, there is a whole bunch of
- 13 things that go through your head at that point.
- 14 Q. And were your suspicions heightened because you believed
- 15 that this vehicle was just involved in a drug transaction?
- 16 MR. WILSON: Objection. Leading.
- 17 THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer.
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 BY MR. KANGIOR:
- 20 Q. Okay. Where did the Enclave eventually pull over at,
- 21 approximately?
- 22 A. Past the Harrison/Giles exit on the outside shoulder of
- 23 the interstate.
- 24 Q. And you mentioned another agent or deputy traveling with
- 25 you involved in this traffic stop?

- EADS Direct
- 1 A. Yes. Sergeant Wineinger.
- 2 Q. What was he driving?
- 3 A. He was driving an unmarked Ford F-150.
- 4 Q. And did that -- does that vehicle have emergency lights
- 5 as well?
- 6 A. And sirens, yes.
- 7 Q. Were they activated?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. For the same time period that your emergency lights were
- 10 activated?
- 11 A. He came out and helped after he had -- the person
- 12 driving was initially not pulling over. He pulled next to
- 13 me, and we both had our lights on the vehicle.
- 14 Q. The two vehicles, yours and Officer or Deputy Wineinger,
- 15 did they have in-car cameras?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. Why is that?
- 18 A. Undercover vehicles don't have in-car cameras.
- 19 Q. Okay. But you were wearing body cameras?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Both you and Wineinger?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And have you reviewed those recently?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. After the vehicle, the Enclave, pulls over, how do you

- EADS Direct
- 1 proceed with the investigation?
- 2 A. We go up. I made contact with Reiman.
- 3 Sergeant Wineinger makes contact with the driver. I asked
- 4 Reiman to step out of the vehicle. He said no. And then
- 5 Deputy Wineinger has the female driver step out of the
- 6 vehicle.
- 7 | Q. And what were your intentions at that time?
- 8 A. To get Reiman and the driver out of the vehicle. We
- 9 weren't sure what they were doing in that time it took to
- 10 pull over, and just as an officer safety concern.
- 11 Q. How were you and Wineinger dressed that day?
- 12 A. We were wearing external carriers that say "Sheriff" and
- 13 police belts and badges.
- 14 Q. Who was the driver eventually identified as?
- 15 A. I believe it's Jessica Reiman. It's Reiman's wife.
- 16 Q. And then were you eventually able to get Mr. Reiman out
- of the passenger's seat?
- 18 A. Yes. He was brought out of the vehicle at the time of
- 19 the K-9 sniff was being conducted.
- 20 Q. And so it's clear, Wineinger is a K-9 officer?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And did you observe him deploy his K-9 that day?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. What were you doing while Wineinger was employing his
- 25 K-9?

- 1 A. I was attempting to slow down or block traffic so that 2 we didn't get hit by a vehicle.
 - Q. And then eventually do you seat the driver in your vehicle?
- 5 A. What's that?
- Q. In your -- in your -- do you take the driver and seat her in your vehicle?
- 8 A. Yes.

4

9

18

19

20

21

- Q. And what did -- why did you do that?
- 10 A. To get her out and be able to interview her.
- 11 Q. What did you talk to her about when she was in your 12 cruiser or undercover vehicle?
- A. We discussed the traffic infraction, ran a records

 check, and then conducted an interview with her over what was

 going on at the time. And then ex- -- before she got into my

 vehicle, when she was first exiting the vehicle, Reiman was

 yelling at her, "Don't say fuckin' anything."
 - THE COURT: Excuse me. Could you repeat your testimony?
 - THE WITNESS: Yeah. When she was exiting the vehicle initially, Reiman was screaming at her, "Don't say fucking anything."
- 23 BY MR. KANGIOR:
- 24 Q. Did that cause you a concern?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 2 A. Normal people don't do that.
- 3 Q. Unless they have got something to hide?
- 4 A. Correct.
- Q. Do you discuss with Ms. Reiman the reason that you
- 6 pulled her over?
- 7 | A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Is that captured on your body-worn camera?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Can you give a summary of the discussion you had with
- 11 her and her response?
- 12 A. Yep. I explained to her what the traffic infraction
- was, following too closely, explained the general rule of
- 14 thumb, two to three seconds behind. I said he wasn't able --
- 15 the semi driver wouldn't be able to see you.
- Then she explained to me that she was trying for the
- aerodynamics of the -- of the truck for better gas mileage
- 18 | following behind the vehicle. So...
- 19 THE COURT: Mr. Kangior, could you identify what
- 20 exhibit this interview would be on?
- 21 BY MR. KANGIOR:
- Q. Your body-worn camera would have been identified as BWC
- 23 footage of traffic stop under your name, D. Eads?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. You have reviewed copies of that?

- 2 Q. And that consists of two different videos?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And are you aware of the [indiscernible] in Government's
- 5 Exhibits 1 and 2?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 | O. And then Government's Exhibit 3 would have been the
- 8 body-worn camera footage of the traffic stop from the camera
- 9 worn by S. Anton?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 0. And then the Government's Exhibit 4 would have been the
- 12 body worn footage of the traffic stop from the camera worn by
- 13 Jarrod Wineinger?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 THE COURT: So Exhibit Number 2 is the one that has
- 16 the interview or Exhibit 1?
- 17 BY MR. KANGIOR:
- 18 | Q. It's -- I believe it's a two-part video?
- 19 A. Yeah. It's -- it was -- it was a long video, so it's
- 20 broken up into two disks.
- 21 Q. Do you recall your discussions with Ms. Reiman being on
- 22 the first portion or the second?
- 23 A. The first portion.
- 24 \ Q. Towards the end, obviously?
- 25 A. Towards the end.

1 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

- 2 BY MR. KANGIOR:
- Q. Did you have any discussions with Mr. Reiman during the traffic stop?
- A. Not till the very end. My initial contact with him was when I asked him to get out of the vehicle and he said no.
- Q. And then what happened towards the end of the traffic stop? What discussions are you having with him?
- 9 A. Towards the end he mentioned that he wanted to speak
 10 with law enforcement, and then he was transported to the
 11 Douglas County Sheriff's Office.
- 12 Q. Was he interviewed at the scene?
- 13 A. No. He was interviewed at the Douglas County Sheriff's 14 Office.
- 15 Q. Did you make any threats to him at the scene?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. Was he told his wife was going to jail?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. Was he told his kid was going to jail?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. Did he have a kid in the back seat?
- 22 A. There was a four-year-old in the back seat.
- 23 Q. In fact, was his wife placed under arrest?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. Was she released from the scene?

- A. She was released with the child.
- 2 | Q. And were -- was she released along with the child in
- 3 Mr. Reiman's presence?
- 4 A. Yes. He was still there when she was released.
- 5 Q. And she was released in the vehicle that they were
- 6 pulled over in?
- 7 | A. Yes.

- 8 Q. After it was searched?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. What was the reason for the search?
- 11 A. The K-9 indicated to the odor of narcotics.
- 12 Q. And so it's clear, when was this K-9 deployed?
- 13 A. After the business of the stop was completed, I returned
- 14 the documents. I asked if there was anything illegal in the
- 15 vehicle; marijuana, methamphetamine, cocaine, heroin, stolen
- property, guns. And then I asked for consent, and she denied
- 17 consent.
- 18 Q. And did you instruct her what you were intending to do
- 19 | thereafter?
- 20 A. Yes. I told her that we were going to run a K-9 around
- 21 | the vehicle.
- 22 Q. Okay. And at that time you mentioned that the stop had
- 23 concluded?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Therefore, you needed reasonable suspicion to deploy the

- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Did you believe you had reasonable suspicion?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 | Q. Based on all your -- well, tell the court why.
- A. Based on our observations of the narcotics deal at 24th and L, based on how long it took them to pull over, based on the statements made by Reiman to don't say fuckin' anything,
- 9 all those clustered into what we believed...
- 10 Q. Led you to reasonably believe that vehicle contained narcotics?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Were you involved in the deployment of the K-9?
- 14 A. I blocked traffic for the deployment of the K-9.
- MR. KANGIOR: Okay. If I may have just a moment,
 Your Honor.
- 17 THE COURT: Sure.
- 18 BY MR. KANGIOR:
- 19 Q. Where was Mr. Reiman taken after this traffic stop?
- 20 A. He was taken to the Douglas County Sheriff's Office 21 special operations group interview room.
- Q. Does that interview room -- did it have a recording device?
- 24 A. It did not.
- 25 Q. Why is that?

A.

- 2 Q. Okay. Did you conduct the interview?
- 3 A. Myself and Deputy Jesse Ronk did.

Because it's broken.

- 4 Q. How did you begin the interview?
- 5 A. We uncuffed him. We placed him into the interview room.
- And he was seated in the corner. Ronk was seated against the wall, and I was seated at the desk.
- 8 Q. And how did you begin the interview?
- 9 A. We advised him of his rights and read aloud a rights
 10 advisory form.
- 11 Q. Have you reviewed Government's Exhibit 5?
- 12 A. I have.
- Q. Is that a copy of the Douglas County Sheriff's rights advisory form you filled out with Mr. Reiman?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Describe how you -- describe how you filled this out.
- 17 A. We fill in his information on the top, and then we read
- each question, and he gives an answer. Then we write his
- answer for each question going down the list, and then at the
- 20 conclusion he reviews his answers. He signs that those are
- 21 his answers, and then I write on the bottom that I was the
- 22 one that did it.
- Q. Describe Mr. Reiman's demeanor at the time of the interview.
- 25 A. He was upset at the time of the interview, but he wanted

- 1 to cooperate.
- Q. Had you found any narcotics in the vehicle prior to the
- 3 | interview?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Was Mr. Reiman aware of this?
- 6 A. I believe so.
- 7 Q. At any point prior or during the interview did anyone
- 8 make him any promises?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. Did Officer or Deputy Ronk make him any promises?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Did you threaten him?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. Did Ronk threaten him?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. Did anybody say, We are going to arrest your wife and
- 17 child?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. Did he ask about his wife being arrested?
- 20 A. I don't recall if he asked about his wife.
- 21 Q. Did he ask if his child was going to be taken into
- 22 custody?
- 23 A. I don't recall if he did.
- 24 Q. But they were released at the scene prior to this
- 25 | interview?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Did Mr. Reiman answer your questions?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Was he coherent?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Did he appear under the influence?
- 7 | A. No.
- 8 Q. Did he ask questions of you?
- 9 A. I don't recall if he asked questions of us.
- 10 Q. Did he ask for any assurances or any promises from
- 11 authorities?
- 12 A. I don't recall.
- 13 Q. If he did, would -- did you give him any?
- 14 A. No.
- Q. Have you ever promised anybody anything during your
- 16 interview with them?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. How long did this interview last, about?
- 19 A. Approximately an hour.
- Q. And then give the court a summary of the information he
- 21 relayed to you during this interview.
- 22 A. He identified who gave him the number to the Mexican
- 23 drug-trafficking organization, identified that as Clarinda
- 24 | Blair. Do you want me to spell her name?
- 25 THE COURT: If you would.

observing?

1 THE WITNESS: C-l-a-r-i-n-d-a. Last name is Blair, 2 B-l-a-i-r. 3 She was the one who introduced him to the Mexican drug-trafficking organization. That's who he had been in 4 5 contact with and that he -- I don't recall how much he 6 purchased that day. 7 MR. KANGIOR: I have nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Cross-examination? 8 9 MR. WILSON: Thank you, Your Honor. 10 CROSS-EXAMINATION 11 BY MR. WILSON: 12 Good morning, Investigator Eads. 0. 13 A. Good morning. 14 Ο. Where were you located during the meeting with the -- I 15 quess you described his last name as Solis? 16 A. Yes. 17 Ο. S-o-l-i-s? 18 A. I believe so. 19 Okay. Where were you located during the interaction Ο. 20 that you witnessed with my client? 21 A. I don't recall my exact position. I was in the area of 24th and L. 22 23 Were you watching this on a live-streaming camera? Were 24 you watching this with your own eyes? How were you

- EADS Cross
- 1 A. No. I was able to see the vehicles with my own eyes;
- 2 however, we had an investigator that was filming the whole
- 3 | thing, and that's Brian Gerrity.
- 4 Q. I am sorry. What was that? 24th and?
- 5 A. L, at the Walgreens on the southeast corner.
- 6 Q. Did Mr. Reiman go into the Walgreens?
- 7 A. I don't recall if he did or not.
- 8 Q. Did you see him emerge from the Walgreens?
- 9 A. I don't recall. I would have to review the -- we have
- 10 footage from Walgreens as well.
- 11 Q. But as you sit here, you can't dispute that he went into
- 12 the Walgreens?
- 13 A. I don't know.
- 14 Q. And the -- and specifically I am referring to before the
- meeting you didn't see him go into the Walgreens?
- 16 A. I personally did not.
- 17 Q. Where did you see Mr. Reiman come from when he got --
- went to -- into the -- what was it? The Malibu? -- the
- 19 Malibu vehicle.
- 20 A. Can you -- can you repeat that?
- 21 Q. Yeah. Where did you see Mr. Reiman come from when he
- 22 went to the Malibu?
- 23 A. The Buick Enclave is the vehicle he arrived in.
- 24 | Q. Okay. Could you see anything happening in the Malibu?
- 25 A. I could not.

- Q. And other than the fact that Mr. Reiman had a black bag
- 2 when he went into the Malibu and he had a black bag when he
- 3 left the Malibu, there is nothing of note as it relates to
- 4 | the black bag; is that right?
- 5 A. No. It just observes that he still retained that black
- 6 baq.
- 7 | Q. And you followed the Enclave from that parking lot; is
- 8 that right?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Were you the first vehicle on its tail, or were there --
- 11 how many vehicles were behind you?
- 12 A. I don't recall. There was at least 15 to 20 cars
- 13 following it.
- 14 Q. Did anybody follow the -- Solis in the Malibu?
- 15 A. I don't recall. I know we had it on tracker. I don't
- 16 know if they continued following him. He left the parking
- 17 lot at the same time.
- 18 Q. Do you know whether there was a traffic stop conducted
- 19 on the vehicle?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 | Q. And Mr. Solis is not coop- -- was not cooperating with
- 22 the -- with law enforcement at that time?
- 23 A. No.
- 24 | Q. Had he ever been arrested at that point?
- 25 A. No. He wasn't arrested until later.

- 1 Q. Was he arrested that day?
- 2 A. I don't remember if it was that day or what it -- what 3 day it was.
- Q. As you are following this vehicle you said that you -you were observing -- and by vehicle, I mean the Enclave.
- 6 A. Yes.
- Q. You were observing the Enclave as it was traveling eastbound on I-80; is that right?
- 9 A. Yes. I became the lead vehicle on the western part of I-80 around 96th Street.
- 11 Q. Is that roughly where the cloverleaf with 680 would be?
- 12 A. It's just before that.
- Q. All right. And then as you are proceeding in that direction, did you notice when the truck that -- it -- well, actually, I will withdraw that question.
 - Could you describe the truck that you said that the Enclave was following too closely?
- 18 A. Yeah. It was a semitruck.
- 19 Q. Okay. Did it have a typical tractor-trailer on it? Did 20 it...
- 21 A. Yeah.

- 22 Q. Yeah?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And you noticed in -- what brought your attention to this traffic violation? You said you saw brake lights on

- 1 the Enclave; is that right?
- 2 A. Correct.
- Q. All right. Your definition of following too close, does
- 4 | it simply mean that braking when the vehicle in front of the
- 5 vehicle -- that braking in rear position, that that means you
- 6 are following too close if you have to brake?
- 7 A. No. If you -- if it appears that you have to brake to
- 8 avoid a collision, then you are following too close.
- 9 Q. Well, I mean, to be fair, anybody has to brake to avoid
- 10 a collision when the vehicle in front of them brakes; right?
- 11 A. Generally.
- 12 Q. Okay. So really does this come down to a question of
- 13 | following distance and following time?
- 14 A. No. I am -- it comes down to just following too closely
- 15 to the vehicle in front of you. The -- if that vehicle
- 16 slammed on its brakes, you would be colliding with that
- 17 | vehicle. You wouldn't -- it has to do with reaction time.
- 18 Q. Okay. So it does come down to reaction time?
- 19 A. Right.
- 20 Q. Okay. And you said two to three seconds, roughly, is
- 21 enough time to react?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 0. Is that fair?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Okay. You have testified that this Enclave was, you

- 1 believe, less than two seconds behind the truck; right?
- 2 A. Yes. Two -- yeah, less than two seconds.
- 3 | Q. All right. Did you use your phone to time that?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 0. And...
- 6 A. From my training and experience.
- 7 | Q. Okay. You don't have a stopwatch in your vehicle;
- 8 right?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. You didn't mention on direct that you used any sort of
- 11 technique in measuring that following distance or time, did
- 12 you?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. Okay.
- 15 A. It's my observations.
- 16 Q. So you are estimating --
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. -- that the vehicle was less than two seconds behind the
- 19 | truck?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. But you can't say with any certainty whether it was, for
- 22 instance, less than one second behind the truck; right?
- 23 A. I can't.
- 24 \ Q. The vehicle you were driving did not have sirens;
- 25 correct?

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 Q. You said it had emergency lights?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. And you said you activated those lights; right?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 0. There wasn't another vehicle with sirens at the time
- 7 | you -- that were on at the time you activated your lights;
- 8 correct?
- 9 A. Not at my initial time that I activated.
- 10 Q. All right. So a time passed before any vehicles turned
- 11 on any sort of sirens; right?
- 12 A. A very short time passed.
- 13 Q. Okay. Do you know whether the radio was on in the car
- 14 prior to you pulling the vehicle over?
- 15 A. Which radio?
- 16 Q. A radio, any sort of noise.
- 17 | A. In my car?
- 18 Q. In the Enclave.
- 19 A. Oh, I -- I don't recall. No, I have no idea.
- 20 Q. Right. You don't...
- 21 A. I...
- 22 Q. Right.
- 23 | A. Right.
- 24 Q. You don't know what the sound conditions were in the
- 25 | Enclave before you pulled it over, do you?

- 1 A. No.
- 2 Q. You mentioned honking your horn; right?
- 3 A. Yep.
- Q. Is there something different about your car's horn that
- 5 | would signify you are a police officer?
- 6 A. No. Horns are meant to get people's attention.
- 7 | Q. Right. But it's a standard car horn; right?
- 8 A. Right.
- 9 Q. Okay. The same one that any other car out on an interstate would be using if they were honking at someone;
- 11 | right?
- 12 A. Yep.
- 13 Q. Correct me if I am wrong, but I believe you said you are
- 14 the one who approached the -- you know what? I will actually
- 15 withdraw that.
- The 55 to 70 -- well, let's say 60 miles an hour, how
- 17 | far can a car travel in that time period? Do you know?
- 18 A. I don't know.
- 19 Q. All right. Safe to say it could be a couple hundred
- 20 | feet in a matter of seconds; right?
- 21 A. I don't know.
- 22 | Q. Well, you have done a lot...
- 23 A. I think there -- there is a math equation for it, but I
- 24 don't know.
- 25 Q. Right. You have done -- you have mentioned your

- 1 | training and experience; right?
- 2 A. Right.
- 3 Q. You have -- you have been involved in many car --
- 4 vehicle stops; right?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. Cars can travel significant distances at highway speeds
- 7 over a period of a second or two; right?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 | Q. Okay. And where did you pull the vehicle over?
- 10 A. We pulled the vehicle over on the west side of the
- 11 | Harrison/Giles exit.
- 12 | Q. Okay. So do you know how far that is in miles between
- 13 when you first started --
- 14 A. Activated...
- 15 Q. -- yeah, activated your lights and when the car...
- 16 A. I don't know the exact distance. I estimate between a
- 17 | mile and a half, two miles.
- 18 Q. You approached the driver's side of the vehicle;
- 19 correct?
- 20 A. I approached the passenger's side.
- 21 | Q. You approached the passenger's side. Were you able to
- 22 verhear anything going on on the driver's side of the
- 23 vehicle?
- 24 A. I had Deputy Wineinger ask the wife to get out of the
- vehicle, and then he -- after -- before that I was asking

- 1 Reiman to get out of the vehicle. He told me no.
- 2 0. Uh-huh.
- A. And then when Wineinger asked her to get out, he was arquing with Wineinger.
- 5 Q. "He" meaning my client --
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. -- Mr. Reiman?
- 8 Yes?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Did Mr. Wineinger -- or, excuse me, Investigator,
- 11 Officer Wineinger, did he give Ms. Reiman, Jessica Reiman, an
- 12 option as to whether she could remain in the car?
- 13 A. No.
- Q. So she gave -- he gave her instructions, get out of the
- car and go to -- to go to my vehicle; right?
- 16 A. Yes. Yes.
- 17 Q. He didn't suggest to her that she roll up her window;
- 18 right?
- 19 A. What's that?
- 20 Q. Well, let's -- I will withdraw that question.
- 21 Was her window down at some point?
- 22 A. She had rolled her window down, yep. Both windows were
- down.
- 24 | Q. Okay.
- 25 A. The passenger and driver's side.

- 1 Q. All right. And that was -- and that -- and she rolled
- 2 her window down to have communication with Officer --
- 3 Officer Wineinger; right?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Okay. He didn't suggest to her, roll your window up, or
- 6 anything to that effect, did he?
- 7 | A. No.
- 8 Q. Okay. He told her, get out, go back to my vehicle;
- 9 || right?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And did she do it immediately?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 | Q. Okay. It took some convincing; right? But she
- 14 eventually did it; is that correct?
- 15 A. Yes. He explained to Jaden Reiman that if he didn't,
- 16 then she would be arrested.
- Q. And what was the purpose of having Ms. Reiman -- well, I
- 18 | will withdraw that.
- 19 You mentioned that you asked Officer Wineinger to have
- 20 her -- to remove her from the car; right?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 \ Q. What was the purpose of that?
- 23 A. To remove her from the driver's seat of the vehicle for
- 24 officer safety.
- 25 Q. All right. Were there any other purposes of having her

- 1 separated from her husband?
- 2 A. Yes. To describe the traffic stop to her. It's loud to
- 3 hear out there. And then to be able to talk to her after he
- 4 | yelled at her, "Don't say fuckin' anything."
- 5 Q. Uh-huh. Well, he didn't say that until after you had
- 6 instructed the officer to take her out of the driver's seat;
- 7 | right?
- 8 A. That was as she was getting out of the vehicle.
- 9 **Q**. Okay.
- 10 A. Yeah.
- 11 Q. And if the purpose of the stop was simply a following
- 12 too closely investigation or citation, you could have left
- 13 her in the car; right?
- 14 A. No. Generally on the interstate we bring everyone back
- 15 to our car.
- 16 Q. Including the average person pulled over for following
- 17 too closely if -- even if that's the only offense that you
- 18 are looking at?
- 19 **A.** Yes.
- 20 Q. Did you interview Jessica Reiman in the vehicle?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 Q. The police vehicle?
- 23 A. In which vehicle?
- 24 | Q. Did you interview Jessica Reiman in the police vehicle?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Did you ask her for consent to search?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And what did -- what was her response?
- 4 A. She did not consent to search.
- Q. And at some point what -- and then after she denied
- 6 consent to search, what did you do with Ms. Reiman after you
- 7 were done speaking to her in the veh- -- in the police
- 8 vehicle?
- 9 A. I told her she was being detained and to sit tight. And
- 10 I went and requested Deputy Wineinger to conduct a sniff of
- 11 the vehicle with the K-9.
- 12 Q. All right. And I believe you testified that that was
- 13 based on reasonable suspicion --
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. -- factors that you have described during your direct
- 16 examination; right?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Did you have -- when you were speaking with Ms. Reiman,
- 19 at any point during your conversations with her did you ever
- 20 suggest to her that she might go to jail or be in custody?
- 21 A. At one time I told her she was detained.
- 22 Q. Right.
- 23 A. Right.
- 24 | Q. Did you ever suggest to her at any time during any of
- 25 your conversations with her that she might -- that her child

- EADS Cross
- 1 | might not be able to go home with her that day?
- 2 A. Before we conducted an interview following the K-9
- 3 sniff, I told her what -- I laid everything out for her of
- 4 what we were doing.
- 5 Q. Uh-huh.
- 6 A. The consequences for everything, and that's -- I told
- 7 her, I said, he could go to jail. You could go to jail. And
- 8 so we need to figure this out.
- 9 Q. Okay. So going to jail was among the consequences that
- 10 you described to her?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And what was -- and that was the consequence of what?
- 13 A. Consequence of drugs in the car.
- 14 Q. Okay.
- 15 A. Yeah.
- 16 Q. Was that also a consequence of her failing to answer
- 17 | your questions?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. Did you have anything to do with interviewing Mr. Reiman
- at any time when the vehicle was on the side of the highway?
- 21 A. I don't recall on the side of the highway other than
- 22 right before we went back to the office when he indicated he
- 23 wanted to talk to law enforcement.
- 24 | Q. Okay. So he did inter- -- indicate he would talk to law
- 25 enforcement while he was in the vehicle on the side of the

- 1 highway?
- 2 A. Yeah.
- 3 | Q. All right. Did he want to do that at first?
- 4 | A. He was very rude at first, so I don't think so.
- 5 | Q. So the answer is no, he didn't want to do that at first?
- 6 A. Yeah. The answer is I -- I don't know. I don't know
- 7 what his intentions were.
- 8 Q. All right. But he didn't cooperate at first in terms of
- 9 answering your questions regarding drug trafficking; is that
- 10 right?
- 11 A. I didn't ask him any questions about drug trafficking on
- 12 the side of the road.
- 13 Q. Okay. Who did?
- 14 A. I don't recall if anyone did.
- 15 Q. All right. Do you recall or do you know whether anybody
- 16 interviewed Reiman in the vehicle about drug trafficking?
- 17 A. I don't know. In his vehicle?
- 18 Q. Uh-huh.
- 19 A. I don't -- I don't know.
- 20 | Q. No, not just in his vehicle. I will -- I will ask you
- 21 again. Do you know whether anybody with -- from law
- 22 enforcement interviewed Mr. Reiman at any time during his --
- 23 when he was sitting on the side of the interstate in any
- 24 vehicle?
- 25 A. I don't recall.

- Q. All right. So you didn't have anything to do with any interviews of Mr. Reiman while on...
- 3 A. Not that I can remember, no.
- 4 Q. Okay. You...
- A. Other than when we got back to the office. But on the side of the road, I don't recall.
- Q. Okay. Do you know who he was talking to on the side of the road when he was in -- well, I will withdraw that.

Do you know whether he was eventually in a police vehicle on the side of the road?

- 11 A. Eventually I -- I don't know whose vehicle or what

 12 vehicle --
- 13 | O. Uh-huh.
- 14 A. -- but he got transported to the office, so he had to have been.
- Q. Okay. Yeah. So he ended up in a police vehicle; right?
- 17 A. Yes. I don't know whose, though.
- Q. Okay. You did not take Jessica Reiman into custody that day; right? You testified to that.
- 20 A. Correct. We released her.
- 21 Q. Okay. Why did you do that?
- A. We didn't believe that she was a hundred percent involved. We were still unclear, until we got the phone search warrants back, her true involvement.
- Q. All right. Did you have any information from Mr. Reiman

- 1 at that point, you or any of the other officers that you are
- 2 aware of, did you have any information from Mr. Reiman about
- 3 | that point as to her involvement or lack thereof?
- 4 A. I don't recall.
- 5 Q. All right. Did any officers tell you that Mr. Reiman
- 6 cooperated or began answering questions about drug
- 7 | trafficking while in a police vehicle on the side of the
- 8 road?
- 9 A. No. I don't recall.
- 10 Q. Okay. You don't recall or that did not happen?
- 11 A. I don't know.
- 12 Q. You don't know if that happened?
- 13 A. I don't know if that happened.
- 14 Q. All right. And just to reiterate, you don't know the
- 15 | identity of any officers that were talking to Mr. Reiman
- 16 while that vehicle was on the side of the road?
- 17 | A. I am not sure which officers were there. There was
- 18 probably seven, eight officers there. I don't know who was
- 19 with him.
- 20 Q. Okay. Well, was Officer Wineinger talking to him in the
- 21 back of a vehicle, to your knowledge?
- 22 A. I don't know.
- 23 Q. Was Sergeant Anton talking to him? I say -- I am sorry.
- 24 He's not necessarily a sergeant. Was the officer by the name
- 25 of Anton speaking to him?

- 1 A. I don't know.
- 2 Q. All right. And then there is another officer, Eads --
- 3 or Brian; right?
- 4 A. Gerrity.
- 5 0. Brian Gerrity?
- 6 A. Yeah.
- 7 Q. Was he speaking to him in the --
- 8 A. I don't know.
- 9 Q. -- rear of the vehicle?

 10 Did you observe the K-9 deployment and sniff?
- 11 A. No. I was blocking traffic.
- 12 Q. I believe I am correct. You said you saw the K-9
- 13 deploy; right?
- 14 A. Yeah. I saw the K-9 get out, and then I advised him
- 15 that I would block traffic so he didn't get hit by a car.
- 16 Q. Uh-huh. So do you know whether -- K-9 Riggs is his
- 17 name; right?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Do you know whether K-9 Riggs entered the car at any
- 20 point?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 MR. WILSON: Just one second, Your Honor.
- 23 THE COURT: Sure.
- 24 BY MR. WILSON:
- 25 Q. I did specifically ask about conversations with

A. Yes.

- 3 Q. Just to -- just to broaden that question, make sure I
- 4 am -- I am giving you every opportunity, did you hear
- 5 conversations with Mr. Reiman that would have occurred when
- 6 he was standing outside of the vehicles on the side of the
- 7 | highway?
- 8 A. I did not.
- 9 Q. All right. You didn't have any part in any
- 10 conversations with him?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Do you know when officers did, if...
- 13 A. Could have been Gerrity. I believe Ginnetti [phonetic]
- was there, and then Wineinger. I don't recall who else was
- 15 there.
- 16 MR. WILSON: We are about done, Your Honor. Thank
- 17 you for your patience.
- 18 THE COURT: Take your time.
- 19 BY MR. WILSON:
- 20 Q. Okay. Just based on your training and experience, if
- 21 | you do not have some statement, inculpatory statement as to
- 22 the source of drugs or the -- or the ownership of drugs in a
- 23 vehicle, if those are discovered during a search, is it
- 24 | typical to allow the driver of the vehicle to leave the
- 25 scene?

- A. We observed him make the transaction, so it is typical for that, yes.
- Q. All right. And based on your memory, there is -- at no point did anyone threaten Mr. Reiman while on the side of the road? At no point did anyone threaten Mr. Reiman with his wife or his child being prevented from getting in the vehicle
- 7 and driving away?
- 8 A. No. I did not talk to him, and I did not threaten him.
- 9 Q. All right. But you don't know of anybody that said anything to that effect?
- 11 A. I don't know of any -- what anybody else said to him.
- 12 MR. WILSON: Okay. Nothing further, Your Honor.
- 13 THE COURT: Any redirect?
- 14 MR. KANGIOR: Yes, Your Honor.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

16 BY MR. KANGIOR:

- Q. During a drug investigation if someone asks you the consequences of finding drugs, are you going to tell them
- 19 what the possible consequences are?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Such as you may go to jail?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. People you are with may go to jail?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Is that a threat?

- 1 A. No.
- 2 Q. When you were asked about following too close, less than
- 3 two seconds, how do you articulate that span of time when you
- 4 are traveling 65 miles an hour?
- 5 A. I count, 1,001, 1,002, 1,003.
- 6 Q. Did you do so in this case?
- 7 | A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And would you say that a tractor-trailer length is a
- 9 one-second distance at -- traveling 65 miles an hour?
- 10 A. I don't know.
- 11 Q. But based on your time count you are sure that this
- 12 Enclave was following the semi tractor-trailer within two
- 13 seconds?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- 15 0. And then prior to the Enclave stopping after you
- 16 activated your emergency lights, did you make any eye contact
- 17 | with the driver of the Enclave?
- 18 A. I did not. I did not.
- 19 Q. Do you know if Wineinger may have?
- 20 A. I am not sure.
- 21 Q. Okay. Do you know if the driver of the Enclave was
- 22 aware of your presence when you were trying to get them to
- 23 pull over?
- 24 A. Following the -- once the vehicle stopped and we talked
- 25 | with her, she said, yes, we saw the lights; we weren't sure

1 what those lights were, and then pulled over. 2 0. So -- and this was prior to -- after you activated your 3 lights prior to them stopping? A. 4 Yes. 5 MR. KANGIOR: Nothing further, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Okay. You have been designated as the 7 case representative or agent, so you can remain in the Thank you for your testimony. You may step down. 8 courtroom. 9 MR. KANGIOR: Would you like me to call my next 10 witness? 11 THE COURT: Yes, you may. 12 MR. KANGIOR: I will go ahead and call Jarrod 13 Wineinger. May I be excused? 14 THE COURT: Yes. 15 THE COURTROOM CLERK: Go ahead and come up here. 16 THE WITNESS: Oh. 17 THE COURTROOM CLERK: [Indiscernible] for a minute. 18 THE WITNESS: Jarrod Wineinger, W-i-n-e-i-n-g-e-r. 19 THE COURTROOM CLERK: And is Jarrod spelled 20 J-a-r-r-o-d? 21 THE WITNESS: Correct. 22 THE COURTROOM CLERK: Okay. Please raise your 23 right hand. SERGEANT JARROD WINEINGER, GOVERNMENT WITNESS, SWORN 24 25 THE WITNESS: Yes.

1 THE COURTROOM CLERK: You can be seated. 2 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 3 THE COURTROOM CLERK: And if you need to adjust the 4 mic so... 5 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you. 6 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Kangior, you may proceed. 7 MR. KANGIOR: Thank you. DIRECT EXAMINATION 8 9 BY MR. KANGIOR: 10 Sir, how are you employed? 0. 11 I am a deputy sheriff with the Douglas County Sheriff's Α. 12 Department. 13 How long have you been so employed? 0. 14 A. Almost 24 years, this March. 15 0. And then which unit are you currently assigned with? 16 A. To our K-9 division. 17 And as a K-9 officer or deputy, give an overview of some Ο. 18 of the -- your job description. 19 I came into the unit in 2008. Part of our Α. 20 responsibilities in the K-9 unit is assisting other Douglas 21 County units with patrol or narcotics deployments for the K-9 ranging from narcotic sniffs of vehicles, storage units, 22 23 luggage, things of that nature, to patrol deployments looking 24 for suspects who ran from vehicle stops, ran from other 25 encounters, things of that nature. Also helping with the

1 apprehension of those suspects.

- Q. And can you give an overview of some of the training you have received in order to fulfill your duties?
 - A. When I got into the unit in 2008 I went through a five-week basic narcotics handler course with my first K-9 and then a six- to seven-week patrol K-9 course. I was then certified in both narcotics and patrol at that time.

The State of Nebraska requires an annual recertification for handler teams. I have been certified annually with the K-9 I was assigned to since that point. I have also been certified as a K-9 trainer in both patrol and narcotics, a K-9 evaluator, which an evaluator is someone that has the authority to certify K-9 handler teams.

Been certified as an evaluator in both patrol and narcotics, and I have been certified as a K-9 judge in patrol and narcotics. A judge has the ability to certify evaluators throughout the state.

- Q. And as a judge, what does it take to become certified or what is -- what do you look for to certify someone?
- A. It -- are you -- what did I need to do to be cert- -- become certified as a judge, or how do I grade evaluators?
- Q. How do you grade evaluators to become certified?
- A. The typical process to become an evaluator is you have to be a handler for over five years, I believe. Then potential evaluators will job shadow judges. I am one of

1 three in the state.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

So the potential evaluators will follow judges when they do certifications. They will grade dogs alongside of the judge. We will talk about our answers, things that the potential evaluators may have noticed or may have missed and kind of a teaching process along the line.

Once the evaluators reach -- I think it's 25 evaluations, the judge they have been with the most will run them maybe through another final certification.

At that time if their answers are in line with the training, theory, and teaching practices, they will take a written test. And if they pass the written test along with their required number of certifications, they can be certified as an evaluator.

- Q. Are you currently assigned a K-9?
- 16 A. Yes, I am.
- 17 | Q. What's the K-9's name?
- 18 A. My K-9 currently is K-9 Riggs.
- 19 Q. How long have you been assigned K-9 Riggs?
- 20 | A. I have been with Riggs since 2019.
- 21 \ Q. And what -- has Riggs been certified every year since?
- 22 A. Yes, he has.
- Q. Prior to August 23rd, 2023, when was Riggs's most recent certification?
- 25 A. I would have to refer to my reports, but I believe he

1 was certified in July of '23.

- Q. Within a -- certainly within a year of August 23rd of 2023?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And that certification process involves what?
- A. It is a -- ten scenarios with 14 finds. It's supposed to simulate real-world deployments, vehicle deployments, lockers, just the various scenarios you will see in the real world, home environments, bathroom environments, storage environments.

Fourteen finds over the ten scenarios. So they are single finds in some scenarios, and there are two finds in others. The locations of the find are unknown to the team going through certification. They are only known to the evaluator that's putting the certification on.

- Q. And has Riggs been certified every year since he's been assigned to you?
- 18 A. Yes.

11

12

13

14

15

16

- Q. Have you been certified every year since you have been assigned Riggs?
- 21 A. Yes. We certify as a team.
- 22 Q. What is Riggs trained to detect?
- A. He is trained for narcotics detection. He's trained to detect the odors of marijuana, methamphetamine, cocaine, and heroin.

- 1 | Q. And how do you go about deploying K-9 Riggs?
- 2 A. It depends on what we are being asked to sniff, but typ-...
 - Q. Say a -- say a road traffic stop.
- 5 A. Traffic stop, depending on the environment, the traffic,
- 6 I try and start from some point on the vehicle. There is --
- 7 | I -- when we are on a roadway where we are going with
- 8 | traffic, I try and deploy where I can see traffic coming at
- 9 us for the beginning of the sniff just so we don't both get
- 10 | hit by a car, and then work my way typically counterclockwise
- 11 around the vehicle.
- 12 Q. And then do you direct K-9 Riggs on where to sniff?
- 13 A. I initially let him start sniffing on his own.
- 14 | Typically he'll move at head level in a vehicle. In the case
- of a vehicle he'll typically move at head level around the
- 16 vehicle.

- 17 | If he catches any odors on that initial, I will let -- I
- 18 | will let the line play out, let him follow it. If we don't
- 19 get anything on first pass, I will start to direct him where
- 20 | maybe I -- I don't think he got his nose into a particular
- 21 seam or area. I will typically direct him high and low as we
- 22 are going along on the next passes.
- 23 Q. And then you mentioned a leash. When you are doing
- 24 deploy -- when you deploy Riggs, he's always on a leash?
- 25 A. Typically, yes.

- Q. And then sometimes you give him more leash to go where he wants to?
- A. Yes. I deploy with a leash that's a lot longer than a five- to six-foot waist lead. If he wants to -- as we are moving along if all of a sudden he head snaps and wants to go work an area on his own, I can play out the leash. My motion, my tension on the leash will hopefully not interfere with him or influence, and it allows him to work an odor source on his own.
- Q. And then what behaviors will Riggs indicate to you that he might be sensing in the odors of narcotics?
 - A. There is a range of alert behaviors the -- with every dog, and some dogs show the same behaviors all the time.

 Some show different behaviors in different situations. With K-9 Riggs typically as we are working, when he goes from open-mouth sniffing to completely closed mouth, nasal, that's one of the alert behaviors that his -- through training with him that leads me to believe he's in one of the four target odors he's been trained on.

He works very well coming with me at my direction. When he wants to break away from my movement and go back to a location without any prompting from me, that's another alerting behavior. A head snap behavior where we are -- we are going along, then all of a sudden he wants to break off and go back.

There is also what I call kind of the radar sweep behavior. He'll get in an area. We'll see maybe a closed-mouth alert. He'll start working there, get nasally. Then he'll move past it a little bit, stop, move past that area again, and almost like he's trying to close in a box on where he's getting the strongest odor.

- Q. And then does Riggs indicate a different behavior when he's indicating to you that he's found the source of the odor of narcotics?
- A. So his trained indication behavior after alert, which the -- a true indication can only follow after the alert behavior, his indication will be to stop and focus on the strongest source of the odor that he can detect.

Typically if the source odor is at head level, within a range of a foot or two at head level, he'll sit and focus on it. If it's lower, he'll lay down and focus on it. If it's higher, he may go up and put his paws on something and stand and focus on it.

But he will stop all his movement. He won't move any further from that point.

- Q. And to you that indicates that Riggs is demonstrating to you where he believes the strongest source of the odor of narcotics is coming from?
- A. Correct.

Q. Will Riggs sometimes do alerting behavior and not come

1 to a final indication?

- A. I have seen that on occasion in training.
- Q. And does that tell you that he is picking up the odor of narcotics?
- A. Yes. Where -- what I typically interpret that as is
 he's getting the odor, but he just can't figure out where the
 strongest source of the odor may be coming from.
 - Q. Were you and Riggs involved in a traffic stop on August 23rd of 2023?
- 10 A. Yes.

2

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 11 Q. Who were you assisting that day?
 - A. I was assigned to our special operations group. I had been contacted by one of the members of the group that they were conducting surveillance. I am not sure exactly, but east of -- around the area of 20th Street and I think just north of the interstate.

They were looking to possibly conduct traffic stops. I was headed to that area. They had observed a -- what they believed was a drug transaction. The suspect vehicle from the transaction got onto the interstate at that point.

They started following it. And I got around on the interstate to get caught up to them at some point after that.

- Q. So you weren't involved in the initial observations of their surveillance of this alleged drug transaction?
- A. No.

- Q. But you were made aware through radio contact that officers were involved in a suspected drug deal?
- A. Correct.

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. When did you actually come into or observe the suspect vehicle?
 - A. I believe Deputy Eads initially turned on his emergency lights and tried to stop the vehicle somewhere around I-80 and Q street. I think I was about a minute or two behind when he initially activated his lights.

The vehicle kept proceeding westbound on I-80. I got caught up to Deputy Eads. The vehicle wasn't pulling over.

I pulled to his driver's side into the middle lane, activated my emergency lights and sirens, and I also activated my air horn several times trying to get the attention of the driver to pull over.

At one point I saw the driver look in her rearview mirror at me, and I tried to motion her to pull over, and she still proceeded westbound on I-80.

- Q. And then what were you driving that day?
- A. I was driving an F-150 silver unmarked vehicle, but it had visor lights and grille lights, and it also had a siren package.
- 23 \ Q. And the siren is a loud siren?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Like a fire truck?

- A. Typ- -- your typical police siren, along with an air horn that I was using intermittently with the sirens.
 - Q. And then your lights, what do those consist of?
- A. They are -- there is a set of lights in the visor, and then there is lights in the grille of the vehicle. And also it will cause the front headlights to flash intermittently
- 7 between the two.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And then when you activated your lights, where were you in relation to the suspect vehicle?
- 10 A. I was basically even with Deputy Eads's vehicle. We
 11 were approximately 30, 40 feet behind the suspect vehicle.
- Q. And you -- were you directly behind it or off to one lane?
- 14 A. I was off a lane.
- Q. Okay. And you have mentioned the driver -- well, what did -- what observations did you make of the driver while you were trying to pull the vehicle over?
 - A. I believe I could see it was a female driver who looked in her side rearview mirror right -- right back at me, but that's -- I thought there was initially three occupants in the vehicle. It turned out there were two, and I -- and a child safety seat was creating a -- in my mind what I thought was a third person in the back seat.
 - Q. And then how long did this suspect vehicle travel before pulling over once you were behind it?

- A. We traveled west of the Harrison Street overpass from -
 so from Q street to where we initially finally stopped I
- would say is a mile and a half to two miles.
- 4 | Q. And the suspect vehicle, what -- describe it.
- 5 A. It was a sedan, four-door sedan. I think pretty dark
- 6 | tint on at least the rear passenger windows. I don't recall
- 7 what her front passenger windows were.
- 8 Q. And then where did it pull over?
- 9 A. It pulled over to the right side of the road.
- 10 Q. About approximately where?
- 11 A. It -- west of the Harrison Street overpass on I-80. So
- 12 between Harrison Street and what would be the exit to get off
- 13 at what's 144th Street.
- 14 Q. Where did you park in relation to the suspect vehicle?
- 15 A. Deputy Eads gave me enough room to get mostly onto the
- 16 shoulder, so I -- by the end of when they finally came to a
- 17 stop, I would be basically directly behind the suspect
- 18 vehicle on the shoulder.
- 19 Q. How were you dressed that day?
- 20 A. I was dressed in my outer body cam -- or body armor
- 21 carrier with sheriff's insignia armed with, you know, duty --
- 22 | typical duty belt.
- 23 Q. Were you wearing a body camera?
- 24 A. Yes, I was.
- 25 Q. Was it activated?

- 1 A. Yes, it was.
- Q. And was your undercover vehicle equipped with any recording devices?
- 4 A. No, it was not.
- 5 | Q. How did you approach the vehicle after it pulled over?
- A. Once it finally got stopped, Deputy Eads approached the passenger's side. I approached the driver's side.
- 8 | Q. What did you do upon approaching the passenger's side?
- 9 A. I made con-...
- 10 Q. Or, I am sorry, driver's side.
- 11 A. Oh. I made contact with the female driver at that
- 12 point. I told her that Deputy Eads had observed her with a
- 13 | traffic violation and he would basically fill her in on
- everything with that, and I asked her if she could locate her
- 15 vehicle paperwork.
- 16 Q. Did it take her some time to produce the paperwork?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Did she eventually produce it?
- 19 A. She -- I believe she found the registration and her
- 20 license at some point.
- Q. And did she eventually exit the driver's side door?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Upon whose request?
- 24 A. Upon my request.
- 25 Q. For what purpose?

A. Number one, it's very hard to hear roadside on the interstate. It's easier to conduct a follow-up with a driver back at your vehicle inside the vehicle. It's safer that way.

Number two, based on what we had known from the previous observations of surveillance, I don't know if there were any weapons in the vehicle she might have access to. I don't know if there is any evidence in the vehicle she might be able to destroy. We typically want to get people away from things that could be a potential danger to us or a loss of evidence.

- Q. And then prior to this traffic -- the traffic stop, the suspect vehicle being pulled over, did you observe any traffic infractions?
- 15 A. I did not.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- Q. Did anyone relay to you that they had observed a traffic infraction?
- 18 A. Deputy Eads had.
- 19 Q. At some point did someone or some fellow agent request 20 that you deploy Riggs?
- 21 A. Deputy Eads spoke with the female driver of the vehicle.
- When he was done with speaking with her, he asked me to deploy K-9 Riggs.
- Q. And you had Riggs with you in your undercover vehicle that day; correct?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Did you deploy Riggs?
- 3 A. I did.
- 4 Q. How did you go about doing that?
- 5 A. I got his leash on him. I brought him up to the front
- 6 driver's side fender, front door area. I gave him his sniff
- 7 command, and we started from there in a counterclockwise
- 8 motion around the car.
- 9 Q. Prior to deploying Riggs, did you ask anyone to roll up
- 10 windows or roll down windows?
- 11 A. No, I did not.
- 12 Q. When you approached the driver initially, did you ask
- 13 her to roll down the window so you could speak with her?
- 14 A. I believe she already had it down.
- Q. Prior to deploying Riggs, did you shut any doors that
- 16 were left open?
- 17 A. Yeah. When we had the passenger exit the vehicle for
- 18 the sniff, the passenger left the door open. I did shut that
- 19 door.
- 20 Q. Why did you do that?
- 21 A. Just because of the inherent risk of if the dog were to
- 22 follow his natural instincts and jump into a vehicle, it's an
- 23 unsearched vehicle. I don't know if there is anything in the
- vehicle that could be a physical danger to the dog, needles,
- 25 knives, weapons.

And in this day and age, fentanyl being a pretty prevalent drug nowadays, fentanyl will kill dogs. I don't want him -- if he gets into a vehicle and finds fentanyl or comes across sniffing fentanyl, I don't want him to die. It's a danger to him, so I don't want him going physically inside of a vehicle.

Q. And do you routinely conduct your searches to prevent

A. Yes.

Riggs from entering vehicles?

- Q. Is it part of your practice to ever indicate or encourage Riggs to stick his head inside of a vehicle?
- A. The sticking the head inside of the vehicle to get into an odor cone, if that's what -- if I need him to direct into that odor cone, yes. That -- that's part of training is to get where the most likely source of odor could be coming from.

An open window is most likely going to be a strong source of odor for anything in the vehicle, but we do not train to have them follow up and jump into a vehicle, whether we give them a command to do it or whether they want to do it on their own.

That's something we try and teach them to don't just jump into vehicles. If you -- if you get your odor source there and you fe- -- if you feel that's the source, you know, go to indication at that point.

- Q. And that's the reason you have them on a leash, to prevent them from entering into vehicles?
 - A. Correct.

- Q. How did you deploy Riggs in this case on August 23rd, 2023?
 - A. Like I said, I put him on his long line. I initially start giving him three to four feet. We start at a point on the vehicle. I started about the front driver's fender, front driver's side door. We worked our way around the vehicle.

Initially, the first pass was head level. We worked counterclockwise around the vehicle. On the first complete pass I started trying to detail him high to get him up into window seams and, you know, they -- the -- where the seams are a little less protected than door seams, where you have several folds that can affect odor where -- versus just a single seam of a rubber seal on a window.

I directed him high from the driver's side window to the dri- -- or passenger's side doors. Got back to the driver's side window. He went up high, went nasal up in the window, came down, worked on the door seam. Giving a little -- he gave me a little radar.

He worked past it again. Then eventually he came up without any direction from me back into that window, saw him take kind of his final deep breath, and then he went to sit

1 | for his -- sit and focus for his indication.

- Q. Okay. And all this is recorded on your body camera?
- 3 A. Yes.

2

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. So you started the deployment of Riggs on the rear
- 5 passenger's side of this vehicle?

car was about to plow into us.

- A. No. The front driver's side fender, driver's side door area.
- Q. Okay. And when Riggs first approached the window, was he giving you any alerts?
- A. We started on the -- that -- when we got from the front of the door to the back of the door, he started going nasal on that. Right about that time Deputy Eads was trying to block traffic, yelled out something, so I lost my focus on Riggs and looked, hoping that Dan wasn't warning me that a

I started to see an alert, but he continued past that. So we worked back around for that first lap. And after that first lap, I started to see alerting behavior that I could -- that I was focused on.

- Q. And you mentioned you direct Riggs high and low. How do you do -- how do you direct him?
- A. Typically I just raise my hand above his head if I want him to go high. I put it down below his head if I want him to go low. I don't touch the vehicle or touch any surface that we are trying to sniff. I just want to direct his

- 1 attention up high.
- 2 Q. And was the pass- -- I am sorry -- the driver's side
- 3 window rolled down when you were deploying Riggs in this
- 4 case?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Did you direct Riggs to sniff inside of that open
- 7 | window?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Did you put your hand up by -- next to the open window?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. For the purpose of?
- 12 A. Sniffing high on that -- on that side of the vehicle.
- 13 Q. And as you have mentioned, an open window is going to be
- 14 more likely a source of -- a stronger source of an odor than,
- 15 say, a seam?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And, again, did you put your hand inside the open
- 18 | window?
- 19 A. No, I did not.
- 20 Q. Give you -- Riggs any indication to enter into that
- 21 | vehicle?
- 22 A. No.
- MR. WILSON: Your Honor, I have to object to
- 24 leading here.
- 25 THE COURT: Overruled. I will allow you full

- 1 cross-examination.
- 2 MR. WILSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 3 BY MR. KANGIOR:
- And at some point did Riggs stick his nose inside the 4 5 window?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Was that upon direction of you? Ο.
- I believe the initial time I presented that window high 8 Α.
- that could have been considered direction of me, but at --
- 10 just prior to that final indication, we worked into it. His
- 11 head snapped back to that area and started working that area
- 12 again, and he went high up into the window without any motion
- 13 or anything from me. After that instance where he went on
- 14 his own, that's when he came to his final indication after
- 15 that.

- 16 I quess what I am asking is when you direct him high, Q.
- 17 you wanted him to get up and sniff the open window?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Did you direct him to go inside the open window? Ο.
- 20 A. No, I did not.
- 21 He did that on his own? Ο.
- 22 Α. Yes.
- 23 Based upon what? Q.
- 24 A. Based upon he's trying to get into the strongest source 25 of odor.

- 1 Q. And at some point did Riggs give his final or an
- 2 indication to you alerting to the strongest source of
- 3 | narcotics?
- 4 A. Yes, he did.
- 5 Q. How did he do that?
- 6 A. He went up into that window one last final time, took a
- 7 deep inhale, came down on the seam between the B-pillar and
- 8 the -- and that door and then sat and focused for indication.
- 9 Q. Indicating what to you?
- 10 A. That he had found the strongest source of narcotics
- 11 odor.
- 12 Q. Giving you probable cause to search?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Was the vehicle searched?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Who participated in that?
- 17 A. I participated, and Deputy Eads participated.
- 18 Q. What, if anything, was found?
- 19 A. Deputy Eads located a baggie with methamphetamine
- 20 residue. I think there was another bag related to a -- like
- 21 | a tote bag. And then we found a water container later that
- 22 we -- it factored into the investigation.
- 23 0. Where were these items found?
- 24 A. I believe Deputy Eads found them on the passenger's side
- 25 of the vehicle. I -- I was on the driver's side of the

- 1 | vehicle. I did not locate any of those items.
- 2 Q. And how did you participate -- excuse me.
- 3 How did you participate in the investigation after the drugs were found?
- A. After the drugs were found, I assisted with trying to
 determine what the water bottle played into it. I believe at
 some point we figured out from either the passenger's
 statements or our own experience that methamphetamine had
 been dumped into that water bottle. So I participated in
 trying to facilitate a field test of that water so we could
- 12 Q. Was anyone in the vehicle when you are deploying Riggs?
- 13 A. No.

14 | Q. Was there a child in the back seat?

go on from there with further testing.

- 15 A. I know there is a child seat, but I can't remember if there is a child or not.
- Q. Okay. But the driver and the passenger was removed prior to deploying Riggs?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Why is that done?
- A. Because, again, it's a safety concern for the dog. We don't know what they are going to -- it's a safety concern for the officer as, again, it's an unsearched vehicle. The dog's walking around. Myself are walking around. If there is weapons in the vehicle, we could be in potential danger.

- Q. And what was done with the driver prior to you deploying Riggs?
- A. I believe he was secured in another investigator's vehicle.
- 5 Q. The female driver?
- A. Or -- oh, I am sorry. The driver was secured in my vehicle at that time, and the passenger was asked out and secured in another vehicle prior to the sniff.
- 9 Q. Were you present when the passenger was secured?
- 10 A. I was there when he initially exited the vehicle, and he
 11 was -- two other investigators secured him, I believe.
- Q. And your camera captures him -- when we say the passenger exiting the vehicle, that's the defendant in this case?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. And when he exited the vehicle, did he shut the passenger door?
- 18 A. No, he did not.
- Q. Your camera captures you shutting it prior to you deploying Riggs?
- 21 A. Correct.
- Q. And while you are deploying Riggs, where is the defendant?
- A. I thought he had been secured in the -- one of the other investigators' vehicles.

- 1 Q. Or he was standing on the side of the road?
- 2 A. He could have been.
- 3 Q. Were you talking to him?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. Did you have any contact with him on the side of the
- 6 road?
- 7 | A. No.
- 8 Q. Do you know if anyone else did?
- 9 A. I have no idea.
- 10 Q. Did you speak to the defendant at any time after your
- 11 deployment of Riggs?
- 12 A. I do not believe so.
- 13 Q. You weren't involved in the interview?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. Did you hear any officers make him any promises or
- 16 | threats?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. Did you hear any questioning whatsoever of the defendant
- 19 other than when you were standing outside of the vehicle
- 20 prior to them getting out?
- 21 A. No, I did not.
- 22 MR. KANGIOR: I have nothing further, Your Honor.
- 23 THE COURT: Mr. Kangior, are you anticipating
- 24 calling a third witness today?
- 25 MR. KANGIOR: Briefly because of one issue that

```
1
      came up.
 2
                THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Wilson, how long do you
 3
      anticipate your cross-examination will take?
               MR. WILSON: Your Honor, a half hour to 45 minutes.
 4
 5
                THE COURT: Okay. We'll take a ten-minute break
 6
      then. It's 11:07 a.m., and we'll come back here by 11:20.
 7
     And then my thought is that we'll probably be done by
      12:30 or so. Certainly by 12:45. I know I have a warrant
 8
 9
      appointment at that time.
10
               Does that present any issues for your witness or
11
      yourself, Mr. Kangior?
12
               MR. KANGIOR: No, no issues.
13
                THE COURT: Mr. Wilson?
14
               MR. WILSON: No issues. Thank you, Your Honor.
15
                THE COURT: Okay. We'll come back here at 11:20
16
      then and start.
17
               MR. WILSON: Thank you.
18
           (Recess from 11:08 a.m. to 11:19 a.m.)
19
                THE COURT: ...and, Mr. Kangior, you were done with
20
     your direct questioning of this witness?
21
                MR. KANGIOR: I was.
22
                THE COURT: Okay. We can proceed with
23
      cross-examination.
24
               MR. WILSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
25
```

CROSS-EXAMINATION

2 BY MR. WILSON:

- 3 Q. Good morning, Deputy Wineinger.
- 4 A. Good morning.
- 5 0. What was this K-9's name?
- 6 A. Riggs.
- 7 | Q. Have you ever seen the movie Lethal Weapon?
- 8 A. Yes, I have.
- 9 Q. All right. Did you have something to do with that name?
- 10 A. I did not.
- 11 Q. Okay. Is K-9 Riggs named after Mel Gibson's character?
- 12 A. I have no idea who he is named after.
- 13 Q. That was a pretty self-destructive, reckless,
- 14 unpredictable cop in that movie; right?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. So you don't know whether K-9 Riggs was named that
- 17 because he's unpredictable?
- 18 A. I do not know that.
- 19 Q. Okay.
- 20 A. And he's not unpredictable.
- 21 Q. Fair enough. When you pulled over the vehicle, you
- 22 directed Ms. Reiman to get out of the car and proceed
- 23 directly to your vehicle; right?
- 24 A. The driver?
- 25 Q. Yes.

- 1 A. Ye- -- not immediately, but at some point I did direct her, yes.
- Q. Okay. Why did that change? Why didn't you do it immediately?
- A. Because Deputy Eads was speaking at the passenger's side window with both occupants. I could not hear the entire content of what he was saying at that point.
- Q. Did he direct you to have Ms. Reiman get out of the vehicle then?
- 10 A. No. At some point I could -- I could tell that the
 11 conversation was over and we needed to proceed with our
 12 traffic stop stuff.
- Q. Okay. And you never observed -- personally observed a traffic violation prior to the stop; correct?
- 15 A. Correct.
- Q. You detailed all the training that you have gone through over your career as a K-9 officer; correct?
- 18 A. Some portion of it, yes.
- Q. All right. And I am just referring you back to that because I wanted to ask you a few things about K-9 Riggs's training.
- 22 A. Okay.
- Q. Have you been present for all of K-9 Riggs's training, or most of it or part of it? Can you characterize that for us?

- 1 A. I would say part of it.
- 2 Q. Okay. How would...
- 3 A. Before he was -- I -- so since I have had him, I have
- 4 been a part of all of his training, but he was with another
- 5 handler for at least two years prior that I assisted with his
- 6 initial training, but there are other training times I was
- 7 not there.
- 8 Q. Understood. Does K-9 Riggs essentially live with you?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Okay. So you take him home at the end of the day with
- 11 you to your home, and he's your dog outside of work purposes
- 12 too?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 Q. Okay. So you are intimately familiar with K-9 Riggs and
- 15 how he reacts in given situations; right?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Does Riggs receive -- I will say K-9 just to make this
- 18 clear each time.
- Does K-9 Riggs receive a reward when he successfully
- 20 indicates during his search for narcotics?
- 21 A. In training.
- 22 Q. Okay. What's that reward?
- 23 A. It's a toy.
- 24 | Q. And so at least during training he has an incentive to
- 25 give a positive indication; right?

A. Correct.

- 2 | Q. If he -- is he trained -- well, I will rephrase.
- 3 Does he receive a reward in -- during training when he
- 4 successfully refrains from indicating, when there is no
- 5 source of narcotics odor?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. In this real-world situation on August 23rd of 2023, did
- 8 he receive any sort of a reward or praise after positively
- 9 indicating on the Enclave?
- 10 A. I may have verbally praised him, which is kind of our
- 11 standard thing to do. I -- it's what's allowed during
- 12 certification. There is no rewarding in the certification
- 13 scenarios, but you can verbally praise the dog, and that's
- 14 generally what I do on the street.
- Q. And dogs react positively to being verbally praised;
- 16 right?
- 17 A. Generally.
- 18 Q. It's an incentive in its way, isn't it?
- 19 A. It's just a confirmation that he did his required
- 20 training.
- 21 O. Uh-huh.
- 22 A. It's a release to go back, and we are going to do other
- 23 things now.
- 24 | Q. K-9 Riggs sniffs where you direct him to sniff; right?
- 25 A. Yes, generally.

- 1 0. And could you explain again how you -- how you direct 2 Riggs where to sniff?
- 3 Well, if we are talking about a vehicle sniff, I will typically start, let him sniff the vehicle head level. If on 4 5 the initial pass he doesn't do anything on his own, go low, go high or -- on his own account, I will start directing him 6 7 to areas that we didn't get on that first pass.

So I may direct him high; I may direct him low just 9 trying to watch what kind of reaction he's giving me.

- 0. And you did that in this case; right?
- 11 Α. Correct.
- 12 You directed high around the car for the most part; 0.
- 13 right?

8

- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 0. And that was at various points around the vehicle;
- 16 right?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 You actually did touch the vehicle at least once during Ο. 19 those passes, didn't you?
- I don't recall that I did, but I try not to. I try to 20 A. 21 make the -- my standard not to actually touch things.
- 22 Q. Uh-huh. But it's possible you did?
- 23 A. Without watching the video, I can't tell you.
- 24 Q. Uh-huh. Do you know how many times you put your hand on 25 or near the vehicle directing K-9 Riggs during this drug dog

- 1 sniff?
- 2 A. I don't have any specific number.
- 3 Q. All right. If I said more than three, would you agree
- 4 with that?
- 5 A. I -- yeah, I guess I could agree with that.
- 6 Q. Uh-huh. And do you remember how many times you put your
- 7 hand on or near the driver's side window, front driver's side
- 8 window?
- 9 A. I don't know.
- 10 Q. You testified that window was open; correct?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. All right. You also testified that the passenger's side
- 13 door was open; right?
- 14 A. It was open at one point prior to the sniff.
- 15 Q. Prior to the sniff it was open, but you closed it;
- 16 right?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. Okay. And correct me if I am wrong. The primary reason
- 19 you closed that door is that concern for K-9 Riggs's safety;
- 20 right?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 | Q. And that's because if you are not careful, he'll just
- 23 | jump right into that car; right?
- 24 A. Not necessarily, but it's a possibility.
- 25 Q. All right. You didn't close the window, though?

- 1 A. No.
- 2 Q. And, in fact, I think you testified that -- well,
- 3 actually, I will pull that -- I will withdraw that question.
- 4 You don't train K-9s, including Riggs, to jump into
- 5 vehicles; correct?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. But you don't train them to refrain from doing so
- 8 either, do you?
- 9 **∥** A. I do.
- 10 0. You do?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Okay. You don't train them to refrain from putting
- 13 their snout in the vehicle, though?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. And, in fact, the dogs generally are going to go where
- 16 you are directing them to with your hand; right?
- 17 A. Depends on the dog. Some do not take well to direction
- 18 from a handler.
- 19 Q. Well, I don't believe you said K-9 Riggs is
- 20 unpredictable. He's not like Riggs in Lethal Weapon; right?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 Q. Okay. So he's pretty predictable, and he follows the
- 23 directions you are giving; right?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. All right. Has -- I am sorry. You have answered this

- question, but I just want to refresh everyone's memory. How
- 2 long have you been working with K-9 Riggs?
- 3 A. Since 2019.
- 4 Q. All right. So at this point it would have been about
- 5 four years ago?
- 6 A. Or I -- I would have to look at my notes. Since 2021.
- 7 I am sorry.
- 8 Q. Okay. So at this point in August of '23 you had been
- 9 working with him about two years?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. How many -- just estimate, how many drug or narcotics
- 12 sniffs on the sides of the -- of the roads do you think he
- 13 | had conducted by that point with you?
- 14 A. Fifteen-plus.
- 15 0. Okay. Have you ever seen him put his face or snout or
- 16 head or any other part of his body through an open window on
- 17 a vehicle?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And you don't correct him when he does that, do you?
- 20 A. Not if it's -- not if he's just putting his nose into
- 21 the window. If he gives me any indication that he's going to
- 22 | try and climb in or jump in, I will correct him on that.
- 23 Q. Okay. Because from your perspective as his officer, as
- 24 his training officer, him putting his snout through the open
- 25 | window of a vehicle is fine; right?

A. Yes.

1

8

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. When he's putting his snout into the open window of a vehicle, what's he doing?
- 4 A. Well, he should be sniffing if he's given the sniff command.
- Q. Okay. So he's collecting information about what's in that vehicle; right?
 - A. Yes.
- 9 Q. He's collecting -- well, I will ask you this about the 10 training and experience that you have. What are the dogs 11 essentially trained on in detecting narcotics odors? Is 12 there a specific smell? When they are trained, do they --13 are they trained on real narcotics?
 - A. Yes. We train with real narcotics and what we call unid- -- unidentifiable amounts of narcotics, which are cloth, rubber hoses, things that are soaked in/with actual narcotics so we can remove those for training aids without using actual narcotics.
- 19 **□** 0. Uh-huh.
 - A. And we can place those in areas. They will maintain the odor of those narcotics, but they don't actually have the narcotics presence -- present because the odor can maintain in an area without that actual item being present.
 - If you have ever -- if anyone has ever ordered a pizza in your household and they ate it before you got home and you

got home and you knew there had been a pizza there, the pizza is gone, but that odor is still there.

So as far as our UA training aids, it's that same concept. They are -- they are trained on both live dope and the UAs.

- Q. So there are essentially odors that diffuse into the air in that cabin of that vehicle; right?
- A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

- 9 Q. And you, I believe, testified that that is -- eventually contributes to what is called the cone of smell; right?
- 11 A. A scent cone.
- Q. Scent cone. And the scent cone is stronger in an area where an open window might be?
- 14 A. Typically.
- Q. Okay. You directed him near that to -- to sniff near the open window of this vehicle because that was a good place
- 17 to get a scent cone; right?
- 18 A. Yes.

23

24

- 19 Q. I am sorry. You may have called it a smell cone?
- 20 A. I -- if I said smell cone, then I was wrong. It -- it's a scent cone.
- 22 Q. Okay. Thank you for clarifying.
 - K-9 Riggs, in this situation his -- his snout at least, right, his snout at least broke that plane of that window on more than one occasion during this search; right?

A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. And you mentioned at one point during your testimony a head snap; right?
- 4 A. Mm-hmm. Yes.
- Q. All right. Did that occur before or after the first time that K-9 Riggs stuck his snout into that vehicle?
- A. I believe there was at least one, if not two that

 occurred after he had been up in the window with nasal

 sniffing, came down, started to move past the window, head

 snapped back to it. From his training from when I have

 watched, that means he's just left a scent area and he --
- 12 Q. Uh-huh.
- 13 A. -- and now he's going to try and work back into the scent area.
- Q. All right. So something about him putting his snout in that vehicle at least may have led to them -- him snapping back on the way back to that spot; right?
- 18 A. If that's where he was picking up his source of odor, 19 yes.
- Q. Okay. And then is it after the head snap that you had what you would call a positive indication?
- 22 A. Eventually, yes.
- Q. Okay. Why would it be eventually? Did he do that -did he do some additional sniffing after he did his head
 snap?

- 1 A. Yeah. He -- I saw what I call kind of a radar sweep
 2 behavior where he left --
- 3 0. Uh-huh.
- A. -- a scent area, worked back past the window, felt that
 he was to the end of the sniff area and then started working
 back into the window on his own again.
- Q. And is that the second time he stuck his head and snout or at least some part of his face into the window?
- 9 A. Without seeing the exact video again, to my memory, yes, 10 it's about the second time.
- 11 MR. WILSON: Okay. I am sorry, Your Honor. I am

 12 just checking my questions here. I think I am close to done

 13 already.
- 14 THE COURT: Sure. Take your time.
- 15 MR. WILSON: Thank you.
- 16 BY MR. WILSON:
- Q. So during your certification and training process, I -you testified you specifically try to keep dogs from jumping
 into vehicles; right? You train them not to do that; right?
- 20 A. During training, yes.
- Q. Okay. You don't -- you do that for the dog's safety; right?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. You don't do that due to Fourth Amendment concerns, do you?

- A. I -- with the latest case law, we -- I have never
 worried about it from Fourth Amendment concerns because I
 don't train our dogs to jump into vehicles.
- Q. Right. But you do train them to go where you direct; right?
 - A. Correct.
- 7 | Q. And you will direct them toward open windows; right?
- 8 A. Yes.

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

- 9 Q. And that would result in the dog following your
 10 direction and putting -- and put its face or head into an
 11 open window; right?
- 12 A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. Your dog alerted -- or, I am sorry, your dog gave a positive indication, I believe you said, by -- did it sit?

 A. He came to almost a seated position. It was -- it would be what I call kind of almost a crouch. The temperature was around a hundred degrees that day. The asphalt where we were
- actually walking on on that driver's side that day is going to be very hot.

I have seen it time and time again. A condition like that, you are not going to have a lot of dogs that want to sit fully just because of the positioning of their anatomy at the lower end of their body.

- Q. They don't want to get burned; right?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. Have you ever seen him do that before?
- 2 A. Yes.

- 3 Q. On hot days?
- 4 A. I -- it depends on the surface. We have done sniffs on
- 5 other environments off of asphalt and grass -- or off of
- 6 asphalt and concrete where it was much cooler, and he was
- 7 | much more comfortable to do it.
- 8 Q. Following the positive indication by K-9 Riggs, is that
- 9 when you and the other officers determined that you had
- 10 probable cause to search the vehicle?
- 11 A. I believe prior to the stop, with the observations made
- 12 | during the initial surveillance, which I wasn't a part of,
- 13 that I believe they felt they had probable cause without the
- 14 K-9 sniff at that point.
- 15 Q. All right. Did -- but at that point the search was
- 16 conducted because...
- 17 A. After the positive indication?
- 18 | Q. Yeah. After the positive indi- -- so, in other words,
- 19 there was no search of this vehicle conducted until after the
- 20 positive indication; correct?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 | Q. Okay. And once that positive indication occurred, what
- 23 happened to Mr. Reiman, if you know?
- 24 | A. He was -- I imagine he was secured in one of the
- 25 | investigators' vehicles at that point. If he -- if he was

- 1 roadside at that point and wasn't already secured, I imagine
- 2 he was secured at that point.
- Q. All right. Did you observe him secured at some point
- 4 | following the positive indication?
- 5 A. No.
- Q. You never saw him in the back of a police vehicle after
- 7 | the positive indication?
- 8 A. I may have, but I assisted with searching the vehicle
- 9 after that.
- 10 Q. So you don't know whether he was allowed to leave the
- 11 scene on -- in his own vehicle?
- 12 A. I don't believe he was allowed to leave the scene in his
- own vehicle.
- 14 Q. All right. So at some point he was secured in the back
- 15 of a police vehicle; right?
- 16 A. Yes. I believe the driver was the only person allowed
- 17 | to leave the scene in the vehicle.
- 18 | Q. All right. And then there was a young girl in the car
- 19 too; right?
- 20 A. Yeah. What I am starting to remember now, yes, I do
- 21 believe there was a kid in the car.
- 22 Q. Okay. And at some point there was an officer in that
- 23 vehicle with Mr. Reiman, right, in the police vehicle?
- 24 | A. I imagine there was, but I can't say if there was one
- 25 the whole time or not.

- 1 Q. All right. Were you the last one to leave the scene?
- 2 A. I don't recall.
- Q. Okay. You certainly don't recall, though, Mr. Reiman
- 4 being left on the side of the road; right?
- 5 A. No. I am pretty sure he was transported for
- 6 questioning.
- 7 | Q. All right. Do you know whether he was handcuffed?
- 8 A. I don't know for certain. I would assume, per our
- 9 policy and procedure, he would have been.
- 10 Q. Okay. Okay. So there would be a policy and procedure
- 11 at that point he'd be handcuffed; right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And do you know who he was with at that point?
- 14 A. I do not know.
- 15 | Q. All right. Do you know what officer -- can you name the
- 16 officers that you were there with that were in charge of
- 17 Mr. Reiman during the search?
- 18 A. I believe Deputy Eads and Deputy Ronk were the leads on
- 19 this case, but I am not a hundred percent certain on it.
- 20 MR. WILSON: One second, Your Honor.
- 21 Thank you for this moment, Your Honor. I am just
- 22 | looking at some things with my client.
- 23 THE COURT: Take your time.
- MR. WILSON: Thank you.

- 1 BY MR. WILSON:
- Q. Did you testify that you were one of the officers that actually searched the Enclave?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 | Q. All right. Did you personally find any narcotics?
- 6 | A. No.
- Q. All right. You are aware -- are you aware of whether another officer found something that tested positive for
- 9 meth -- for narcotics?
- 10 A. I believe Deputy Eads found the baggie with the residue
- 11 and another tote bag maybe involved with it, and then I am
- 12 not sure which of the investigators found the water bottle
- 13 that eventually contained the methamphetamine.
- 14 Q. Do you know where in the vehicle the water bottle was
- 15 found?
- 16 A. I believe it was passenger's side because I had -- I
- 17 searched the driver's side.
- 18 Q. All right. And you didn't find anything on the driver's
- 19 side?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. Okay. And, again, to be clear, K-9 Riggs's indication
- 22 was -- you observed that after he stuck his head in the
- 23 window on the driver's side; right?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 MR. WILSON: I don't have anything further, Your

1 Honor. Thank you. 2 THE COURT: Redirect? 3 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. KANGIOR: 4 5 0. You testified that Riggs is not unpredictable? 6 A. Correct. 7 You believe he's predictable then? 0. A. I believe so. 8 9 0. Why? 10 Because I have been training with him for two years. A. 11 That's one of the things that the, I quess, professional 12 handler needs to be in tune with is the dog's reactions to 13 certain situations. 14 That's why we go through training is to expose them to 15 as many situations in a training environment before you get 16 to the real world. Now, the real world does present surprise 17 factor every now and then that you haven't trained for, and 18 it might create an unpredictable behavior, but that's why 19 training is important. 20 And you try and -- try and do every training scenario 21 you can imagine and cover every base you can imagine so you 22 get a baseline behavior that as you deploy that dog you can 23 measure that baseline behavior from training to what's going 24 on in that actual real-world deployment. 25 Q. And based on all your training with Riggs do you believe

- 1 he's reliable?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Would you consider Riggs to be a reliable drug-detecting
- 4 K-9?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And was he certified at the time of the search on
- 7 August 23rd of 2023?
- 8 A. Yes, he was.
- 9 Q. Or I should say at the time he was deployed on that
- 10 date?
- 11 A. He -- yes, he was.
- 12 Q. And he had been certified previous to that on at least
- 13 | two occasions?
- 14 A. Yep. More than that. If we got him in 2019, he's
- probably been certified every year since that point.
- 16 Q. Did you ever direct -- during the deployment on
- 17 August 23rd, 2023, did you ever direct Riggs to -- his snout
- 18 to enter inside the open passenger -- open driver's window?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. You did direct him to go up to the window?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. But not inside it?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 Q. Based on your training?
- 25 A. Yes.

A. Well, U.S. vs. Pla- -- or, excuse -- excuse me, <u>U.S. vs.</u>

<u>Stone</u> says that a K-9 who on his own instinct, with no -
with no prompt from a handler, is allowed to enter a vehicle
to continue the K-9's sniff and pinpoint of the narcotics.

While that's the initial case law I was initially trained with in 2008, most of the trainers that I have trained under in the state of Nebraska and outside of the state of Nebraska, it allows the dog to do something, but that doesn't necessarily mean you should allow the dog to go into a vehicle you haven't searched.

So the training philosophy I was trained with is do not let the dog enter a vehicle even if it's not on your prompt and not on your command because of the unknown dangers in that vehicle that are presented.

So you train to get that dog if -- if there is an open door, you train it to work the odor source into that door without going completely into the vehicle and to try and get it to get to its final indication, to train it to a point where it doesn't have to go into the interior of the vehicle, where it can get into that strong source of odor and come to its final indication at that point.

Q. So in the deployment in question on August 23rd of 2023

- you directed Riggs up high and low several times during this deployment?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 | Q. And you directed him high near the open window?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. You did not direct his snout to go inside the open window?
- 8 A. Correct.
- Q. But you knew full well if he gets the odor, detects the odor of narcotics, his instinct is going to take him to
- 11 follow that odor?
- 12 A. Yeah. I -- the -- I don't think his head would go any
 13 further into the vehicle than the distance it would take me
 14 to gather the license, registration, insurance card from
- Q. But if you are directing Riggs to sniff near an open window, you have no belief that he would go inside the window if he's not detecting the odor?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. Any odor of narcotics, I should say?

inside that vehicle on initial contact.

21 | A. Yes.

- Q. So in this case Riggs's snout entered the si- -- entered the open window on his own instinct?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Following the -- trying to find the source of the odor

of narcotics?

A. Yes.

an instant.

- Q. Prior to Riggs first coming upon this open window during this deployment, was he indicating any alerting behavior?
 - A. On that -- when we first started just ahead of the open window, when we got to the driver's side door, passenger's side door seam, or the rear passenger driver's side door, he stopped and started going nasal on that seam instant -- for

Again, that's when I heard Deputy Eads yell something out, and I took my attention away from Riggs at that point to make sure a car wasn't barreling down on us. He didn't stop and stick with it, so we continued on around the vehicle with the sniff.

- Q. What alerting behavior did he indicate prior to coming upon the open driver's window?
- A. He went nasal when he went up in the window, sniffing the seam. I...
 - Q. Let me stop you there. What -- when you say "nasal," is that when he closes his mouth and he's only breathing or sniffing through his nose?
 - A. Yes. Typically he'll start the sniff open mouthed trying to get as much air into his nasal cavity as possible through his -- through his mouth and his nose. When he starts to get into one of his target odors, he'll typically

close his mouth and focus more air or all the air coming through his nasal cavity. I will typically see that behavior.

If we don't necessarily pinpoint a find right away in training, he'll go nasal when you come by the find. If he doesn't go to pinpoint an indication right there, but he's not quite sure, we'll move on, and his -- the mouth will slowly open up again. And then as we get back to it, it will start to close again. That's a typical behavior I see when he's in one of his target odors.

- Q. So Riggs alerted to you that he was on to the odor of narcotics before even getting to that open driver's window?
- A. Correct.

- Q. And then other than the open window and the area where he alerted prior to coming upon the open window, were there other areas on this vehicle that Riggs was giving alerting behavior?
- A. He went nasal on the window seams of the passenger door I believe at least once or twice. I know one specific was right around the passenger's side door. The seams by the mirror, the rearview mirror on the passenger's side he went really nasal, was working on the seams there and then continued on around that.

MR. KANGIOR: I have nothing further.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Wilson, I normally don't

1 allow any recross. Is there any additional questions that 2 you feel necessary to ask? 3 MR. WILSON: If I may, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: You may. 5 MR. WILSON: Thank you. 6 THE COURT: Briefly. 7 MR. WILSON: Yes. Very briefly. RECROSS-EXAMINATION 8 9 BY MR. WILSON: 10 Deputy -- I am sorry. It's deputy; right? 0. 11 Technically, it's sergeant now. I am not used to it Α. 12 yet. So... 13 All right. Sergeant Wineinger, he -- the K-9 Riggs, you 0. 14 are aware of the behavior -- you were aware of the behavior 15 of him putting his head through windows before this traffic 16 stop; right? 17 A. Yes. 18 Okay. Yet you directed K-9 Riggs to put his snout, Q. 19 face, part of his body to that open window on that vehicle, 20 did you not? 21 I directed him to start sniffing high on the vehicle. Α. Whether he wants to stick his head in or not -- stick it in 22 23 there is dependent on him and to whether he has a -- any 24 narcotics odor he's detecting. 25 We have done training where we have had open window on

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

16

17

24

25

the vehicles but nothing placed. Typically you might see them put their head in once, and after that you -- he'll go around the vehicle, and he won't do it again at the open window.

So when he does it a couple different times, to me that's one of his alerting behaviors that he is, indeed, in a source odor that he's been trained on.

- Q. All right. So even during training, dogs put their noses and faces into open car windows; right?
- A. I think it's their natural instinct to try and get as
 much of the smell of the environment as possible. And by
 putting their nose in a little further, that helps them,
 versus from an outside barrier of having air movement across
 their face versus getting in and having that air movement
 actually direct from the vehicle.
 - Q. So he's gaining information about what's in that vehicle from putting his snout into that vehicle; right?
- 18 A. He's gaining information if there is an odor in the vehicle.
- Q. And that's the goal of the search; right? That's your goal, isn't it?
- 22 A. The goal to sniff the -- yes. Is there an odor present or is there not an odor present.

MR. WILSON: Nothing further, Your Honor.

THE COURT: With that said, is there any

```
1
      re-redirect?
 2
               MR. KANGIOR: No, Your Honor.
 3
                THE COURT: Okay. Any reason this witness cannot
     be excused on behalf of the government?
 4
 5
               MR. KANGIOR: No, Your Honor.
 6
                THE COURT: On behalf of the defendant?
 7
               MR. WILSON: No, Your Honor.
                THE COURT: Okay. Thank you for your testimony,
 8
 9
      sir. You may --
10
                THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
11
                THE COURT: -- step down. And you are still
12
      sequestered, so that you understand.
13
                THE WITNESS: What was that? I am sorry?
14
                THE COURT: You are still under a sequestration
15
      order.
             Thank you.
16
                THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
17
               MR. KANGIOR: If you would send in Brian.
18
                THE WITNESS: Yeah. Do you need me to stand by?
19
               MR. KANGIOR: Yeah.
                                    If you would --
20
                THE WITNESS: Okay.
21
               MR. KANGIOR: -- just stand by just in case.
22
                Government would call Officer Brian Gerrity.
23
                THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. You may ob- -- you
24
     may have -- you can obtain your witness.
25
                Sir, if you would approach the witness stand,
```

1 remain standing and raise your right hand. 2 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. 3 THE COURTROOM CLERK: Please state your full name for the record and spell your last name. 4 5 THE WITNESS: Brian Gerrity. The last name is 6 G-e-r-r-i-t-y. 7 OFFICER BRIAN GERRITY, GOVERNMENT WITNESS, SWORN THE WITNESS: I do. 8 9 THE COURTROOM CLERK: You can be seated. 10 Thank you. THE WITNESS: 11 THE COURTROOM CLERK: And you can adjust the mic if 12 you need to. 13 THE WITNESS: Okay. 14 THE COURT: Okay. You may proceed, Mr. Kangior. 15 MR. KANGIOR: Thank you, Your Honor. 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION 17 BY MR. KANGIOR: 18 Sir, how are you employed? 0. 19 With the City of Omaha Police Department. Α. 20 How long have you been so employed? Q. 21 A. Twenty-one years. 22 Which unit are you currently assigned to? Q. 23 A. Currently assigned to the FBI TOC West Task Force. 24 And can you give a brief description of some of the Ο. 25 investigations you become involved with?

- 1 A. Our responsibilities are to investigate drugs that come
- 2 from Mexico. So we are usually involved in long-term
- 3 investigations.
- And do you assist other agencies in the course of your 4 0.
- 5 job duties?
- 6 A. Yes, we do.
- 7 Were you employed in your current capacity on 0.
- August 23rd of 2023? 8
- 9 A. Yes, I was.
- 10 Were you involved in a surveillance operation that day? 0.
- 11 Α. Yes, I was.
- 12 Give an overview of that operation. Ο.
- 13 A. Myself and another officer were in Council Bluffs, and
- 14 we were conducting surveillance on a known drug dealer that
- 15 was living -- living in Council Bluffs, and we also had a
- 16 tracker on the suspect's car.
- 17 And prior to installing that tracker, was the suspect 0.
- 18 involved in the delivery of narcotics?
- 19 To my knowledge I believe he delivered drugs to Α.
- 20 an undercover a couple of times.
- 21 0. And that was the reason for the tracker?
- 22 A. That is correct.
- 23 Did you follow or observe the suspect leave his 0.
- 24 residence on that day?
- 25 A. Yes, we did.

- 1 Q. Were other agents and officers involved in this 2 surveillance?
- 3 A. I believe initially it was just two of us, but we were following the suspect back into Omaha using surveillance 4 5 but also utilizing the tracker.
- 6 0. And where did you follow the suspect to?
- 7 We followed him to the Walgreens located at 24th and Α. L Street. 8
- 9 0. Describe the vehicle that the suspect was driving that 10 you had the tracker on.
- It was a brown Malibu, I believe. 11 Α.
- 12 And was this suspect -- did he utilize this vehicle in Ο. 13 the prior drug transactions?
- 14 A. Yes, he did. That's why we had that vehicle under 15 surveillance.
- Did you observe the two drug transactions involved --16 Q. 17 involving the suspect?
- 18 A. On previous dates?
- 19 0. Yeah.
- 20 I don't recall if I was there for both the buys or not, Α. 21 but it sounds familiar.
- 22 0. Were you in contact with the other agents and officers 23 in the course of this surveillance?
- 24 A. Yes, I was.
- 25 Q. Detailing them of what your observations are?

- 1 A. I guess I -- can you repeat the question? My
 2 observations leading up to getting to the Walgreens?
 - Q. Yeah. Are you telling the other agents, keeping them up to date on what's going on?
 - A. Right. The purpose of the surveillance was to follow the drug dealer to see if he was going to meet with anybody else to do other deals and try to get more intel on the person we were looking at.

And on that date we started following him into Omaha, so at that point we were contacting other detectives to come out that were available to assist with surveillance, and which is the point where we ended up at the Walgreens at 24th and L.

- Q. Did you have eyes or were you able to surveil the suspect all the way from his vehicles [sic] to the Walgreens in Omaha?
- A. Yes, we did.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And what do you observe when the suspect got into Omaha?
 - A. When he got into Omaha, he went straight to the Walgreens at 24th and L Street. I pulled into the Walgreens parking lot at the same time and parked in a position where I could see his vehicle.

At that point I saw the defendant's vehicle. I believe it was a Buick, a Buick Enclave, but a white SUV. And I saw the passenger get out of that vehicle, at which point I turned on my camcorder and started recording the incident.

2

- And then I was able to record the defendant getting back out of the brown Malibu carrying a bag and getting back into the vehicle with his wife.
- How long was the original suspect drive- -- well, was 4 0. 5 the original suspect in the Malibu alone?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 And how long was this -- the suspect in the Malibu 0. parked in the Walgreens prior to the defendant showing up? 8
- 9 It was almost -- almost the same time. It was pretty Α. 10 close to immediate.
- 11 And when the defendant arrived, he exited the 0. 12 passenger's side of the white SUV?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. Did he go into the Walgreens?
- 15 A. No, he did not.
- 16 What did he do immediately after exit -- well, where did Q. 17 he park in relation to the Malibu?
- 18 A. They -- right next to each other, within a car length 19 away.
- 20 Did you see who was driving the white SUV at the time? Q.
- 21 It was the suspect's -- which I didn't know at the time, Α.
- 22 but it was a white female. It ended up being the suspect's 23 wife.
- 24 Did she get out of the vehicle? Q.
- 25 A. No.

- 1 Q. Did she go into the Walgreens?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 0. Would you observe the defendant get out of the -- what
- exactly did you observe of -- after the defendant exited the 4
- 5 passenger's side of the SUV?
- 6 A. He got into the passenger's side of the brown Malibu and
- 7 then pretty quickly after that exited, got back out, and went
- back to the vehicle that he came from. 8
- 9 0. Was he carrying anything?
- 10 Carrying a bag. A.
- 11 And did he enter the Walgreens after exiting the Malibu? Ο.
- 12 No, he did not. A.
- 13 Were you the closest surveillance officer observing this 0.
- transaction? 14
- 15 A. I believe I was.
- 16 Other surveillance units were outside of the parking Ο.
- 17 lot?
- 18 I think they were trying to get into the area, A. Right.
- 19 but it happened so fast that nobody else was able to get a
- 20 position to be able to see.
- 21 0. How long was the defendant inside the Malibu?
- Less than a minute. 22 A.
- 23 Did you see any hand-to-hands? 0.
- 24 Α. I couldn't see that.
- 25 Q. What did the defendant do upon exiting the Malibu?

- A. Carried the bag and walked back into the passenger's side of the vehicle he showed up in, and then they departed.

 Both vehicles actually left at the same time.
- Q. And what did you do upon the vehicles leaving the Walgreens?

A. I turned off the re- -- the video recording and started calling out the direction of travel. It was at that point that we decided we were going to try to stop the person that our suspect was meeting with, which in this case ended up being the defendant.

So we were calling other cars to get to the area. It was a lot of moving parts trying to get someone with lights and sirens to be able to conduct a traffic stop.

- Q. Did your vehicle -- did your vehicle that you were conducting surveillance out of have lights and sirens?
- A. Mine does, but I -- I -- we weren't trying to utilize my vehicle. We wanted somebody that was in uniform, if possible.
- Q. And did your undercover vehicle have any recording devices, say, in like -- in the front area?
- A. No. The only recording device I had was a camcorder, and I only utilized that while at Walgreens.
- Q. What did you believe that you had observed when the defendant got into the Malibu and exited shortly thereafter?
- A. Well, I was certain I observed a drug deal that just

1 happened.

- Q. Why did you believe that?
- 3 A. Because I have been doing this for a long time, and I
- 4 had seen these types of meetings before. Someone comes,
- 5 meets a courier. They come in there in the car, either
- 6 | through the window or in a passenger's seat. It only lasts
- 7 less than a minute, and then they leave and go in separate
- 8 directions.
- 9 Q. And is it common during these types of transactions that
- 10 no one will enter the store of the parking lot that they are
- 11 in?
- 12 A. Yes. That's correct.
- 13 Q. And that's exactly what occurred here?
- 14 A. Yes, it is.
- 15 Q. And you had prior knowledge of the Malibu being involved
- 16 in two prior drug transactions?
- 17 A. Yes, I was.
- 18 Q. Thus, it was your intent to pull over or have another
- 19 agent pull over the white SUV who had met with the Malibu?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 Q. And your observations that you are making during the
- 22 meet between the defendant and the person in the Malibu, were
- 23 you relaying this information by way of radio to other
- 24 agents?
- 25 A. Yes, I was.

- Mr. Reiman entering the Malibu?
- 17 A. There was nobody from that vehicle that exited and went 18 into Walgreens.
- 19 Have you reviewed all of the footage of this incident? 0.
- 20 A. I have reviewed it.
- 21 Okay. Does that include -- did you have aerial footage Ο. of the Enclave at the Walgreens? 22
- 23 A. It doesn't sound familiar to me.
- 24 Okay. Okay. You were present at the time that the Ο. 25 Enclave was pulled over then?

- 1 A. I was trying to catch up, but, yes.
- 2 Q. Okay. And eventually you caught up; right?
- 3 Α. That's correct.
- All right. And at some point did you observe Mr. Reiman 4 Ο.
- 5 instructed to leave the Enclave?
- 6 A. You are talking about during the traffic stop?
- 7 0. Uh-huh.
- Yeah. There was a point where he exited the vehicle. 8 A.
- 9 Uh-huh. And what happened to him after that? Did he Ο.
- have to stand on the side of the road for a while? 10
- 11 There was me and another officer. We stood next Α. Yeah.
- 12 to him and waited while they were conducting the search of
- 13 the vehicle.
- 14 0. And did you attempt to question him during that time?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 At some point -- well, was Mr. Reiman allowed to return Ο.
- 17 to his vehicle after that?
- 18 A. I don't remember that.
- 19 Okay. Was he taken into custody after that? Ο.
- 20 I can't tell you for sure. I remember going up, helping Α.
- 21 watching him because we had information that he had been
- 22 violent in the past, so we were -- I was just coming out of a
- 23 neck surgery, so I was hoping that we weren't going to have
- 24 to fight with him. And that was my main concern at the
- 25 moment.

- Q. All right. You didn't have to fight with him, did you, physically?
- 3 A. No, we did not.
- Q. And you had conversation with him as part of that process, getting him out of the Enclave; right?
- A. We just asked him to come step over here away from the vehicle. I don't remember any other conversation other than that. Prior to him getting out of the car...
- 9 Q. Well, here, I don't -- I haven't given you a question.
- 10 A. Oh.
- 11 Q. One second.
- 12 A. Okay.
- Q. One second. Sorry. When did -- when did he leave your
- 14 pr- -- when did Mr. Reiman leave your presence when you were
- 15 on the side of the road?
- A. So at one point I ended up assisting in the searching after they were having trouble finding the drugs that we
- 18 | figured that were going to be in there.
- 19 Q. Uh-huh.
- 20 A. So I am not sure at that point if we handed him off to
 21 one of the other officers and he put him in a cruiser at that
 22 point. I can't remember the exact -- the de- -- in the order
- of events that happened.
- Q. Okay. Were you present -- have you ever seen
 Government's Exhibit 5, a Miranda form --

No.

- 2 0. -- signed by Mr. Reiman?
- 3 No?

A.

- 4 A. I was not a part of any of the interviews.
- 5 Q. Okay. There was more than one interview, though; right?
- 6 A. I am not privy to any of that information. I know they
- 7 had him in a car at the traffic stop.
- 8 Q. Uh-huh.
- 9 A. And then I know that after that, after they let the wife
- 10 go, they ended up taking him back. And he had indicated he
- 11 wanted to talk. And after that I wasn't a part of any of it.
- 12 Q. Understood. But he was in the back of the car while
- 13 they still had Mr. Reiman's wife in custody. You just said
- 14 that. Right?
- 15 A. They were both separated in other vehicles at the time
- 16 | is --
- 17 | O. Right. With him...
- 18 A. -- what I remember.
- 19 | O. And Ms. -- and Jessica Reiman was in cus- -- was at
- 20 least in a police vehicle while Mr. Reiman was in a separate
- 21 police vehicle; right?
- 22 A. Yes. That sounds correct.
- MR. WILSON: Okay. One second, Your Honor, if I
- 24 | might.
- 25 THE COURT: Take your time.

5

6

7

8

25

(Indiscernible discussion in low tones.)

2 BY MR. WILSON:

3 Did you determine you wanted to pull the vehicle over before -- well, I will withdraw that. 4

You were radioed at some point about a traffic violation; is that right? Did you hear a radio broadcast about any alleged traffic violation?

- I heard them talking about it on the radio, yeah. A.
- 9 Ο. All right.
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 You didn't personally observe any traffic violation; Ο.
- 12 right?
- 13 A. I did not.
- 14 Ο. And, in fact, you -- I believe you testified you 15 determined you wanted to pull this vehicle over before any traffic violation; correct? 16
- 17 Α. If it was possible, yes.

other person.

- 18 Okav. Why would it not be possible? 0.
- 19 If they don't conduct -- the purpose of doing a stop Α. 20 like that after something that we witnessed that we believed 21 to be a drug transaction, there is lots of times if we have 22 cruiser available or we have someone that -- and enough 23 surveillance, we will attempt to pull them over, but the 24 purpose of stopping him wasn't to screw up the case on the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

23

24

25

So if he didn't conduct any infractions or had gone somewhere else and stopped and got out, at that point we wouldn't have done anything with him. So that's...

- Because you didn't -- because you didn't have 0. Right. probable cause to pull him over or search his vehicle at that point; right?
- Α. I...

MR. KANGIOR: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for a legal conclusion.

THE COURT: Yeah. Objection is sustained. But you can rephrase the question, and I will allow some latitude with regard to the question.

- 13 BY MR. WILSON:
- 14 0. You didn't at that point have anything other than 15 Mr. Reiman entering that vehicle, the Malibu, and leaving 16 that vehicle, with the exception to the -- the stuff you knew 17 about the driver of the Malibu. Is that fair?
- 18 A. What I knew about the incident that just happened, I 19 would say there was absolutely probable cause to stop him.
- 20 It was just whether we wanted to indicate --
- 21 Q. Well...
- 22 -- that we had watched the surveillance... Α.

I am going to object, Your Honor. MR. WILSON: That's nonresponsive, and now he's making the legal conclusion that the government said he shouldn't make.

1 THE COURT: Okay. So --2 MR. WILSON: So I will ask to strike that. 3 THE COURT: -- the answer -- okay. The motion to strike is granted. The testimony is stricken. I will have 4 5 you re-ask the question. 6 THE WITNESS: I am sorry. I misunderstood. 7 BY MR. WILSON: It's okay. 8 Q. 9 Α. Yeah. 10 The only information you had about Mr. Reiman after he 0. 11 left the Walgreens parking lot, right, was that he got into a 12 vehicle with Mr. Solis for a short period, then left the 13 vehicle, both times holding a black bag, and then he left the 14 area? 15 A. Yes. My... 16 Q. Is that... My observations and my knowledge prior to the incident. 17 Α. 18 Right. But that's what you knew about Mr. Reiman at 0. 19 that point; right? 20 A. Yes. And you knew Mr. Solis had -- you had some -- you have 21 0. 22 already testified as to the information you had on Mr. Solis; right? So you had that information, and then you had what I 23 24 just described as the information on Mr. Reiman, and that's 25 it; right?

1	A. Correct.
2	MR. WILSON: Okay. Nothing further, Your Honor.
3	<u>EXAMINATION</u>
4	BY THE COURT:
5	Q. Okay. So for clarification, the decision by law
6	enforcement was after you witnessed what you thought was a
7	drug transaction was to effectuate a traffic stop if there
8	was an infraction observed by a law enforcement officer?
9	A. Correct, Your Honor. If there was a reason to be able
10	to legally pull him over, then we would pull him over.
11	THE COURT: Okay. Any additional questions,
12	Mr. Wilson?
13	MR. WILSON: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
14	THE COURT: Any additional questions on behalf of
15	the government?
16	MR. KANGIOR: Yes, Your Honor.
17	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
18	BY MR. KANGIOR:
19	Q. At the time of the meeting of the defendant with
20	Mr. Solis driving the Malibu, you knew that both of the
21	vehicles arrived about the same time and parked in near
22	proximity to one another at the Walgreens parking lot?
23	A. That is correct.
24	Q. You knew that neither party from either vehicle entered
25	the Walgreens?

A. That's correct.

1

- Q. You knew that the two met for a very short period of time?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- Q. And you knew that both vehicles and all parties left almost immediately after and whatever transaction they conducted in the Walgreens parking lot?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. You knew or did you believe that the two were involved in a drug transaction?
- 11 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And if you know someone is involved in a drug transaction, do you believe you have probable cause to stop
- 15 A. Yes, I do.

them?

- MR. WILSON: I am going to object, Your Honor.
- 17 That calls for the same legal conclusion.
- 18 THE COURT: Overruled. The answer will stand.
- 19 MR. KANGIOR: The answer will stand?
- 20 THE COURT: It will.
- 21 A. I said yes.
- 22 BY MR. KANGIOR:
- Q. Okay. And at any time when you are standing on the side of the road during the traffic stop monitoring the defendant, did you threaten him with anything?

- 1 A. No, I did not.
- 2 Q. Make him any promises?
- 3 A. I did not.
- 4 Q. Did you ask him any questions?
- 5 A. No, I did not.
- 6 Q. Other than maybe exit the -- please exit the vehicle?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. Did you hear anyone else conversing with him?
- 9 A. I did not.
- 10 Q. Did you hear anyone else promising him anything?
- 11 A. No, I did not.
- 12 | Q. Anyone else making him any threats?
- 13 A. I did not.
- 14 Q. Were you aware that the defendant's wife was allowed to
- 15 leave the scene of the traffic stop?
- 16 A. Yes, I was.
- 17 | Q. How were you aware of that?
- 18 A. Because they let her out of the car, uncuffed her, and
- 19 let her get back in the car with her -- I believe it was a
- 20 daughter maybe.
- 21 Q. And you were there and witnessed all that?
- 22 A. Correct. And then they let her drive away.
- 23 | Q. When that occurred, where was the defendant?
- 24 A. He was still in a vehicle that was parked on the side of
- 25 the road.

1 0. And as far as you know the vehicle he was sitting in, 2 could you see out -- did it have windows? 3 A. Yes, it did. Would he be able to see his wife leaving the scene? 4 0. 5 Α. Yes, he would have. 6 MR. KANGIOR: Nothing further. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Any reason this witness cannot be excused on behalf of the government? 8 9 MR. KANGIOR: No, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: On behalf of the defendant? 11 MR. WILSON: No, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you for your testimony, 13 sir. 14 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: You may stand down. 16 Does the government have any additional witnesses? 17 MR. KANGIOR: No, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Any additional exhibits? 19 They have previously been MR. KANGIOR: None. 20 received, but --21 They have. THE COURT: MR. KANGIOR: -- I would submit them to the court 22 23 at this time, Government's Exhibits 1 through 5. 24 THE COURT: They are received without objection. 25 Do you rest?

1 MR. KANGIOR: I... 2 THE COURT: Does the government rest? 3 MR. KANGIOR: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Does the defendant have any 4 5 additional exhibits? 6 MR. WILSON: Your Honor, I hate to do this because 7 I know it's noon, but the officer's testimony did open up a 8 question for me as to the exhibits that have been submitted 9 and received or will -- I quess are -- we'd asked that our 10 exhibits be received. 11 That's correct. THE COURT: 12 MR. WILSON: Yeah. But it did open up a question 13 as to the contents of one of these government exhibits and whether it covers the time frame between when Mr. Reiman was 14 15 standing on the side of the highway during the drug dog sniff 16 and when he's placed in the vehicle and then eventually 17 transported, which I think we have enough evidence to 18 indicate he was transported to police headquarters and 19 Mirandized there. 20 THE COURT: I think that's clear from the 21 testimony. 22 MR. WILSON: Right. Right. And so there is -- I 23 can represent to the court there is body-worn camera --24 body-worn camera footage that my understanding, based on my

conversations with the government, is represented in here.

1 I would like to check that, if the court would 2 grant me a few minutes to check that, but we -- you know, 3 I... That's fine. 4 THE COURT: Sure. 5 MR. WILSON: We have been exchanging exhibit lists 6 up to this point. I am not saying he didn't give it to me 7 months ago, that particularities, but this list I got last night, and I need to -- I just need to double-check that 8 exhibit. 9 10 THE COURT: Other than that -- and what exhibit is 11 that that you are going to check? 12 MR. WILSON: The body-worn camera footage of --13 it's Eads, and there is a two-part video, and I believe it's 14 in the second part of that. 15 THE COURT: Okay. Well, we'll leave the record 16 open with regard to that. 17 MR. WILSON: Uh-huh. 18 THE COURT: When we get done with argument --19 MR. WILSON: Uh-huh. 20 THE COURT: -- we'll have you do that, and then we'll... 21 22 MR. WILSON: Okay. 23 THE COURT: You can -- if you have -- well, 24 we'll -- I guess we'll have to come back on the record to see 25 if there is anything else that you are going to be asking

1 to --2 MR. WILSON: Uh-huh. 3 THE COURT: -- for the court to receive. But other than leaving the record open for that one particular issue, 4 5 are you going to have any additional exhibits or any 6 witnesses today? 7 MR. WILSON: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Do you rest other than leaving 8 9 the record open with regard to the information that you have indicated? 10 11 That's correct, Your Honor. MR. WILSON: Yes. 12 THE COURT: Okay. So I am going to allow the 13 parties to move on to closing arguments then. 14 I do want to try to narrow the scope of the issues 15 now that we -- the testimony has come in. The first thing is 16 I garner from your filings that you are contesting the 17 reliability of the K-9 himself, rather with regard to the dog 18 sniff it seemed like the only thing you are contesting is 19 whether or not the officers acted lawfully with regard to the 20 dog either putting his head, snout, or nose into the open 21 window. Do I have that right? 22 MR. WILSON: That's right, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: So as far as the reliability of the 24 dog's training or not, that's not an issue?

MR. WILSON: Well, Your Honor, I -- to put...

1 THE COURT: Well, let me -- let me back up. 2 MR. WILSON: Yeah. 3 THE COURT: You are not claiming that the dog is not reliable or properly trained or certified. This is more 4 5 of a question as to whether or not the officer acted lawfully 6 in -- with regard to the dog putting its nose into the open 7 window, whether that's a matter of training or whether --8 MR. WILSON: Uh-huh. 9 THE COURT: -- what happened in the field on 10 August 23rd, 2023; is that correct? 11 MR. WILSON: That's a fair characterization, Your 12 Honor, yes. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Just wanted to make sure we 14 don't have to cover something that might not need to be 15 covered. 16 And then are you -- given the testimony and 17 evidence received by the court, is the defendant still 18 contesting the legality of the traffic stop itself? 19 MR. WILSON: Your Honor, we are -- we are 20 submitting that to the court, yes. 21 THE COURT: Okay. And then as far as reasonable 22 suspicion, between the time that the mission of the traffic 23 stop would have been completed and the time that the dog 24 sniff took place, is the defendant still contesting whether 25 there was reasonable suspicion for that delay, whatever

1 period of time it was? 2 MR. WILSON: Yes, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: And your -- and then your position still is that a search was effectuated by the way that the 4 5 K-9 was utilized --6 MR. WILSON: Uh-huh. 7 THE COURT: -- and that that search was done without probable cause? 8 9 MR. WILSON: Yes, Your Honor. That was done -- the Fourth -- there is a Fourth Amendment violation. We are --10 11 we are -- we claim there is a Fourth Amendment violation in 12 how that drug dog sniff took place, and therefore, beyond 13 that, every -- the fruit of that unlawful -- that renders the search unlawful. 14 15 THE COURT: Okay. So your point is that without 16 that the officers did not have probable cause to do -- to 17 effectuate the search of the vehicle? 18 MR. WILSON: You said it better than me. Yes, Your 19 Honor. 20 THE COURT: Okay. And then with regard to the 21 defendant's statements, is the defendant still contesting 22 whether those statements -- is he still taking the position 23 that those statements were a product of undue influence, whether it's coercion, threats, promises, or the like? 24 25 MR. WILSON: So, yes, Your Honor. And that's part

of why I need to just double-check with the exhibits that the court has here.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, then that question we'll want to come back to once you have --

MR. WILSON: I believe so.

THE COURT: -- had a chance to do that.

MR. WILSON: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. So, I mean, I will let you make argument. And I will -- I guess I will have Defendant make the argument first, and then I will let Mr. Kangior respond to that, understanding that the government has the burden in this case, but I just want to make sure that we understand exactly what you are arguing so that the government can respond to that.

MR. WILSON: Thank you, Your Honor.

And actually, in all honesty, Your Honor, this -the questions particularly regarding the dog sniff are
probably best left to briefing. I would like to offer to do
that, given the time constraints here and -- but what I -but I -- what I would say for purposes of argument just right
now would be that I believe the government's going to rely on
a case, Lyons. And Lyons -- and that's based on the brief
that they have already submitted. They cited that case
pretty heavily.

We'd arque Lyons isn't determinative here. Lyons

1 does not account -- and the distinction here is Lyons doesn't 2 account for what appears to be, based on Wine- --3 Officer Wineinger's testimony --THE COURT: 4 Sergeant. 5 Sergeant. Thank you. I knew I would MR. WILSON: 6 get it wrong. 7 -- Sergeant Wineinger's testimony that, essentially, these dogs are trained to put their faces up in 8 9 the window. They are never stopped from doing so, and that 10 this is a -- this is a practice. 11 It's not -- so if there is any instinct involved, 12 the officers are relying on that instinct to get dogs' heads 13 and faces into windows. I think you can glean that from the 14 testimony here today. 15 That practice -- and, again, I will -- I would love 16 to argue this to you in a brief because I feel like my brief 17 that I filed in October is -- doesn't even scratch the 18 surface now that we know more, but that practice, Your 19 Honor... 20 I am going to -- if I could interrupt THE COURT: 21 you. 22 MR. WILSON: Yes. 23 THE COURT: Does the government have any objection 24 to additional briefing in this case? 25 MR. KANGIOR: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. I am going to allow that, so if that helps you.

MR. WILSON: Thank you. Yeah.

And that's I think where my -- the direction my brief is going to go in mostly is just pointing out, Your Honor, that Lyons isn't determinative here because the facts are different, to some extent, from what you see in Lyons.

And the other thing that's important here I would argue is Lyons is old law compared with some of the cases that have been out since well before this. You know, the government, I think, argued in its brief that Lyons hasn't been explicitly or expressly overruled.

Well, it doesn't take the Supreme Court saying this case is overruled in order to render the case law in a given case bad law. Ultimately, there is a case called <u>Florida vs.</u>

<u>Jardines</u> that says very explicitly that a trespass by a drug dog, that's -- once it's done that, it's -- that's a Fourth Amendment violation.

And if the dog is being used as an instrumentality of the police, which is what the case law says the dog is, well, the court -- the law enforcement and the government can't fall back on an argument that, well, that was simply the ins- -- the dog's instincts. If you know it's going to do that, you can't -- that's not an excuse.

THE COURT: Sure. And in Jardines that was a case

1 where it was an interior hall -- interior hallway to an 2 apartment --3 MR. WILSON: Uh-huh. -- structure outside the door. 4 THE COURT: 5 MR. WILSON: Uh-huh. 6 THE COURT: I mean, that's a seminal case from the 7 Supreme Court. MR. WILSON: Uh-huh. Uh-huh. 8 9 But you find it to be analogous to a THE COURT: 10 situation where a drug dog in the field on a traffic stop in 11 an open window -- you think the same principles apply? 12 MR. WILSON: The Eighth Circuit said so in Pulido. 13 And so what I would argue, Your Honor -- and that's why I 14 think we need to brief this because it is pretty -- it's --15 it's a -- there is some legal analysis that needs to be done 16 here. I will also be pointing the court to other courts 17 maybe that aren't binding on this court, but have found 18 similarly. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Well, Mr. Kangior, I don't -- I 20 am not going to order additional briefing on anything other 21 than the probable cause issue for the search of the vehicle. 22 So that would include the legality of the -- of the use of 23 the K-9 by Sergeant Wineinger. 24 The government certainly and Defendant certainly

can also brief the issue of whether there was probable cause

to effectuate the search whether or not the K-9 was utilized and there was an alert and indication, so -- but that issue of probable cause to search the vehicle I think would be helpful to have additional briefing, so I am going to allow that.

Briefing on the issue of the traffic stop or the reasonable suspicion to extend the stop beyond the mission of the traffic violation itself, the questioning, I don't think I need additional briefing on that.

If the parties want to do additional briefing on good-faith or the exclusionary rule and how it might apply to the use of the K-9, then certainly you can brief on that, but I think additional briefing on that probable cause issue and the use of the K-9 would be helpful to the court.

So with that said, Mr. Kangior, is there anything else that you wanted to argue for the record?

MR. KANGIOR: Yeah. I would just ask that when you are doing your analysis that you focus on what was known to the officers prior to Riggs sticking his snout inside the window. He was already giving off alerting behavior, combined with everything that the officers knew at the time, that this defendant had met with a known drug dealer and engaged in what they reasonably concluded was a drug transaction, combined with that alerting behavior, the statements made during the stop, the long pause that they

1 took prior to stopping giving them suspicions that they were 2 destroying evidence, that they had probable cause to search 3 before Riggs even got near that window. THE COURT: Right. And all that is relevant to the 4 5 probable cause issue for the search. So, I mean, if you --6 you can add that in your argument in your brief. The court's 7 aware of that from the testimony. MR. KANGIOR: And that's all I would -- and I will 8 9 address any other issues raised by Defendant in a response brief. 10 11 THE COURT: Uh-huh. Okay. So... 12 MR. WILSON: I am sorry to interrupt, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Yes? 14 MR. WILSON: I just want to make sure for purposes 15 of the briefing that I am focused here because I don't want 16 to give you a bunch of stuff you don't need. 17 THE COURT: Right. 18 MR. WILSON: So I have got -- I have two basic --19 well, the three basic topics here. 20 THE COURT: The que- -- the statements. 21 MR. WILSON: Statements. 22 THE COURT: The statements and the reason for the 23 traffic stop and the ex- -- the extension of the detention 24 to --25 MR. WILSON: Uh-huh.

1 THE COURT: -- effectuate the dog sniff. I think 2 we have got that covered --3 MR. WILSON: Okay. -- and I won't need additional briefing 4 THE COURT: 5 on that. 6 MR. WILSON: Yeah. 7 It's really the use of the K-9. THE COURT: MR. WILSON: Uh-huh. 8 THE COURT: And then whether -- and then the 9 10 overall probable cause issue with regard to the search of the 11 vehicle. And that may cause the parties to argue whether 12 there was probable cause with or without the dog alert and 13 indication. MR. WILSON: Uh-huh. 14 15 THE COURT: And the government's argument with 16 regard to the good-faith exception to the exclusionary rule 17 as it relates to the use of the drug dog. 18 MR. WILSON: That was the one I was trying to 19 remember, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: I think that -- the parties may want to 21 do additional briefing on that as well. 22 MR. WILSON: Yes. Absolutely. 23 Your Honor, the only other question I have then 24 about the briefing would be I think I believe it would be 25 helpful to the court and the parties to have a transcript of

the -- of the hearing. I was going to order that 1 2 immediately. 3 THE COURT: Well, you don't need to order it --MR. WILSON: Okay. 4 5 THE COURT: -- because I am going to -- I am not 6 going to make a ruling today, obviously. So I am going to 7 order a transcript. MR. WILSON: Okay. 8 9 THE COURT: It will be prepared and filed and 10 available to the parties within 30 days of today's date. 11 MR. WILSON: Thank you. 12 THE COURT: Once that's done, then I am going to 13 have the parties do the briefing. But with your client's 14 agreement, that time for additional briefing will be excluded 15 for purposes of calculation under the speedy trial act. Does 16 he agree to that? 17 MR. WILSON: Yes, Your Honor. Yes. 18 THE COURT: Is that true, sir? 19 MR. WILSON: I can ask him real quick if the... 20 Is that true, sir? You understand that THE COURT: 21 while this motion is pending not only from the date of filing till today's date, to the time that we need to get the 22 23 transcript, but then briefing of the parties, that that time and an additional 30 days for me to get my ruling out will be 24 25 excluded for purposes of calculation of the Speedy Trial Act?

```
1
     Do you understand that?
 2
                THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
 3
                THE COURT: Do you object or -- to that in any way?
 4
                THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
 5
                THE COURT: So you are agreeable to that?
 6
                MR. WILSON: So you are agreeable to that?
 7
           (Indiscernible discussion in low tones.)
                MR. WILSON: Okay. Let him know.
 8
 9
                THE DEFENDANT: I am agreeable to that, sir.
10
                THE COURT: Okay. And no one has made a threat
11
      or -- any threats or promises to get you to do so?
12
                THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.
13
                THE COURT: Okay. Well, I accept the defendant's
14
      acknowledgment and waiver of Speedy Trial Act.
15
                So how much time, Mr. Wilson, are you going to need
16
      after the transcript is available to you to have a brief
17
      filed?
18
                MR. WILSON: From receipt of the transcript, Your
19
     Honor, 30 days, if the court -- if that's acceptable to the
20
      court.
21
                THE COURT: So that would be February 14th.
      It's -- it -- time is being excluded, so from the court's
22
23
     perspective that's fine.
24
                MR. WILSON: Uh-huh.
25
                THE COURT: I do want you to have the necessary
```

1 time to properly brief the case or the -- or the motion. Is 2 that ac- -- is that agreeable to the government? 3 MR. KANGIOR: Yes. THE COURT: And then how much time, Mr. Kangior, 4 5 will the government need then afterwards to get your brief on file? 6 7 MR. KANGIOR: I would ask for two weeks. THE COURT: Okay. So Defendant's additional 8 9 briefing on the issues that we discussed will be due on 10 February 14th -- no. One moment. We are likely not to have 11 a transcript before then, and instead of just adding days to 12 the transcript, I think it's better to assume that's going to 13 be filed about the time that 30 days is expired. 14 So we'll set the date for Defendant's briefing for 15 March 14th, 2025, and the government's motion -- or the 16 government's briefing will be due on March 28th, 2025. 17 And then I will enter a findings and recommendation 18 on this motion to Chief Judge Rossiter within 30 days 19 thereafter, and all of that time will be excluded for 20 purposes of calculation of the Speedy Trial Act. 21 Did I do the math right, Mr. Kangior? 22 MR. KANGIOR: Sounds right to me. 23 Sounds right to you too, Mr. Wilson? THE COURT: 24 MR. WILSON: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor. 25 THE COURT: Okay. It's now 12:30. I have a

```
1
      warrant at 12:45 that I need to address, so why don't I come
 2
     back out at 12:50 --
 3
               MR. WILSON: Okay.
                THE COURT: -- and then we can make a record as
 4
 5
      to --
               MR. WILSON: That last exhibit...
 6
 7
                THE COURT: -- whether there is something that you
      may need to be submitting at a later date or whether it's
 8
 9
      covered by an exhibit already received by the court.
10
               MR. WILSON: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
                                                            Ι
11
      appreciate that.
12
                           If -- so we'll come back at 12:50 then.
                THE COURT:
13
               MR. WILSON: Okay.
                THE COURT: Okay. Anything else on behalf of the
14
15
      government?
16
               MR. KANGIOR: No, Your Honor.
17
                THE COURT: On behalf of the defendant?
18
               MR. WILSON: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
19
                THE COURT: Okay. We are in recess.
20
           (Recess from 12:30 p.m. to 12:53 p.m.)
21
                THE COURT: ...record in the United States of
      America vs. Jaden D. Reiman. The case number is 8:23CR215.
22
23
                Counsel are present in the courtroom as well as the
24
      defendant. We have concluded our suppression hearing today.
25
      The court made orders with regard to preparation of a
```

Defendant's counsel requested additional time to keep the record open in the event that any additional exhibits need to be received by the court.

Mr. Wilson, you were going to look to see if the Government's Exhibits 1 or -- 1 and 2 contained all the body-worn camera footage that you needed.

Where are we at with that?

MR. WILSON: Appreciate you, Your Honor, for -- and the court for giving -- being patient with us. I looked to where I scanned -- you know, I went through them. This is where I am at.

Mr. Reiman and I recall at some point we thought we saw some video of interviews conducted within the -- of him, of Mr. Reiman, conducted within a police vehicle at the scene. And Mr. Reiman is asking me, and I -- and I think this is -- this -- Mr. Kangior graciously is not -- I don't think would object if I -- if we could come back.

I would essentially ask to reopen the evidence in less than a day if I find this, Your Honor, because it's important for the court to have everything as it relates to his statements that were given.

THE COURT: So are you thinking that you would just ask the court to receive an additional exhibit or would there --

1 MR. WILSON: Yes. 2 THE COURT: -- be any necessary testimony? 3 MR. WILSON: There wouldn't be testimony from us, Your Honor, as long as the government agrees it's body-worn 4 5 camera footage from one of its officers and it agrees to 6 admit it. I -- again, it's frustrating to me because, you 7 know, when you think you -- you are pretty sure you have seen something in all of the voluminous discovery I have received. 8 9 I want to make sure the court has everything. And 10 Mr. Kangior, like I said, has been gracious in saying that... 11 THE COURT: Would by end of the week be sufficient 12 for you? 13 MR. WILSON: More than sufficient, Your Honor. 14 am going to be doing this right away. 15 THE COURT: Okay. 16 MR. WILSON: I don't want to stall -- delay the 17 court in any way. 18 THE COURT: Sure. And that's acceptable by the 19 government? 20 MR. KANGIOR: Yes. 21 MR. WILSON: Thank you. 22 THE COURT: Okay. Well, then by -- we'll keep the 23 record open until, let's say, until January 17th, 2025. Ιf there is any additional exhibit that Defendant wishes the 24 25 court to receive, we'll have to be provided with that.

1 It will have to be properly marked, and you'll have 2 to indicate whether the government has any objection to the 3 court's receipt of that exhibit or exhibits. If you comply with those requirements and the government does not object, 4 5 then the court will receive any additional exhibit or exhibits --6 7 I am sorry. You said requirement... MR. WILSON: THE COURT: -- that deal with that issue. 8 9 MR. WILSON: I am sorry to interrupt you. You 10 mentioned the requirements. I want to make sure I get the 11 requirements straight. 12 THE COURT: Just that you identify whether there is 13 any additional --14 MR. WILSON: Okay. 15 THE COURT: -- exhibit that you wish for the court 16 to receive. 17 MR. WILSON: Okay. 18 That you have met and conferred with THE COURT: 19 Mr. Kangior, that you have obtained whether he objects or 20 doesn't object to the exhibit. 21 MR. WILSON: Uh-huh. Uh-huh. 22 THE COURT: If you do wish to offer an additional 23 exhibit or exhibits and Mr. Kangior does not object, then do 24 so by January 17th, 2025, and the court will receive the exhibit. 25

1 MR. WILSON: Thank you. 2 THE COURT: It will have to be properly marked. So 3 the next Exhibit Number is 106. If there is an objection, then you'll have to 4 5 notify the court for the court to decide on how we need to 6 proceed, but --7 MR. WILSON: Uh-huh. THE COURT: -- given the context of these 8 9 proceedings, I am assuming the government would not object, 10 but in the event that the government does, we'll have to --11 you'll have to inform the court of that so that I can take 12 whatever action is appropriate. 13 MR. WILSON: Thank you, Your Honor. It would 14 simply be additional body-worn camera footage. That's the 15 only thing it can be. 16 THE COURT: Okay. Anything else today then? 17 MR. WILSON: No, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Anything else on behalf of the defendant? 19 20 MR. KANGIOR: No, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Or, excuse me, on behalf of the 22 government? 23 MR. KANGIOR: No, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you for everyone's hard 25 work today. Everybody did an excellent job representing

their respective clients. Defendant is in custody under an order of detention, is remanded to the custody of the U.S. Marshal pending further order of the court. We are in recess. The parties are excused. (Hearing adjourned at 12:57 p.m.) I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter. Signature of Transcriber Date Julie A. Fell, RPR, CRR, CRC, RVR-M-S